

Global Information Assurance Certification Paper

Copyright SANS Institute Author Retains Full Rights

This paper is taken from the GIAC directory of certified professionals. Reposting is not permited without express written permission.

Interested in learning more?

Check out the list of upcoming events offering "Security Essentials: Network, Endpoint, and Cloud (Security 401)" at http://www.giac.org/registration/gsec

Simple Traffic Analysis With Ethereal

GIAC Security Essentials Certification (GSEC) Practical Assignment Version 1.4c

Option 1 - Research on Topics in Information Security

Submitted by: <Neil Orlando> Location: <SANS@Home> March 16, 2005. Paper Abstract: The use of Ethereal Display filters to examine a capture log and see patterns in attempted attacks against the server.

Table of Contents

| Abstract/Summary | 1 |
|---|----|
| Introduction | 1 |
| The Setup | 1 |
| A Review and Use of Filters | 2 |
| Results of Viewing the Captured Data with Display Filters | 4 |
| Conclusion | 12 |
| References | 14 |
| | |

List of Figures

| Figure 1. Filter Tool Bar Figure 2. TCP 135 NT RPC Figure 3. TCP 445 Microsoft SMB | 2 5 6 |
|--|-------------|
| Figure 4. UDP 137 NetBIOS Name Server Figure 5. Protocol Hierarchy Summary Graph | 10 11 |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Abstract/Summary

This paper describes how to use the Ethereal Display Filter to examine a capture log file. The data analyzed was recorded by port and the amount of packet traffic received. The attack patterns that emerged from the data analysis generally correspond with well published vulnerabilities from expected open ports on a server. Attackers also seem to have a variety of ways to get a server and/or firewall to acknowledge traffic and verify a potential target.

Introduction

The goal of this project was to review traffic to a specific IP address by examining a capture log file via Ethereal, identifying the ports an intruder tried to use to connect, and finding any backdoor programs that use those ports.

The Setup

An additional IP, 66.92.xx.xx, was aliased to a network interface card (NIC) of a functioning web server to make it multi-homed. Tcpdump was run to capture the traffic to the IP address before the traffic hit the firewall and was dropped. This mechanism protected the existing web server that existed on another IP address. Nothing was running on IP 66.92.xx.xx during the test. In short, there were no reachable services.

The server was connected directly to the internet, and there were no routers, firewalls, or other packet filters in the way. In addition, no capture filters were used and the ISP did not block ports, so every packet captured was an actual packet that somebody tried sending to that IP address.

A total of 4297 packets were captured during an eight-day period: Feb. 9, 2005, 21:52 hrs through Feb 18, 2005, 10:23 hrs The machine from which these log files were captured ran Red Hat 9, kernel version 2.4.20-8.

Analysis was done on a Windows XP Professional box with an installation of Wincap 3.0 and Ethereal version 0.10.5.

A Review and Use of Filters

Ethereal uses filtering to help sort and find the data. Ethereal can use capture filters and display filters. The capture filters are used when logging data to a file for later analysis. "The capture filter syntax follows the same syntax that Tcpdump uses from the libpcap library. This is used on the command line or in the capture filter dialog box to capture certain types of traffic."¹ This paper does not review capture filters, since the data examined for the test was already captured. The test used display filters to review the captured data and match traffic with specific protocols. Please note that display filters have a different command format from capture filters².

The following steps were used to load the existing capture file in order to view it.³

File – Open – change drives and directory to log capture file – Open

Display filters were accessed in two ways for this test:

1) Menu Bar - click Analyze -> Display Filters. This brings up the Ethereal Display Filter dialog box

2) via the filter tool bar found near the top of Ethereal screen (note: older versions of Ethereal had the filter tool bar on the bottom of the screen).

| | | | | | - |
|------------|---|--------------|-----------|-------|-----|
| The Filter | - | | Sas Clear | Apply | . [|
| | · | I Expression | Se Cicci | | |
| | | | | | _ |

Figure 1. Filter Tool Bar

- Click on button listed as Filter on the left hand side to bring up the Ethereal Display Filter dialog box; or,
- use the text box if you know the exact filter you want to use.
 - The left middle text box provides an area to enter or edit display filter strings... A syntax check of your filter string is done while you are typing. The background will turn red if you enter an incomplete or invalid string, and will become green when you enter a valid string. You can click on the pull down arrow to select a previously-entered filter string from a list. The entries in the pull down list will remain available even after a program restart.⁴

¹ Orebaugh, Angela. <u>Ethereal Packet Sniffing</u>. Syngress, 2004. Pg. 48.

² More information about capture filters can be found:

Sharpe, Richard. http://www.ethereal.com/docs/user-guide-sp/#ChUseCaptureMenuSection; and,

Orebaugh, Angela. <u>Ethereal Packet Sniffing</u>. Syngress, 2004. Pg. 209.

³ Ethereal can read capture files from a variety of different tools. See the online documentation for more information on file formats: Sharpe, Richard. http://www.ethereal.com/docs/user-guide-sp/#ChUseFilterToolbarSection.

A new filter must be created when the display filter box is opened if this is the first instance of running display filters. The following example illustrates how to create a filter to see all HTTP traffic to port 80.

Example. Filter traffic to port 80.

- Click New.
- Name the filter: "tcp destination port 80".
- Remove the word "new" from the filter string field.
- Click the "+ Expression" button to get a list of protocols that can be displayed.
- Scroll down to "TCP" (Transmission Control Protocol) in the field name and click the left arrow to get sub listings of TCP.
- Select tcp.dstport Destination Port.

Note: There are various types of TCP port field names that can be used. This variety can also be seen with the other protocols, e.g., Internet Protocol (IP), Internet Control Message Protocol (ICMP), User Datagram Protocol (UDP), and Address Resolution Protocol (ARP).

- Click == in Relation field.
- Type "80" into the field under "Value"
- Click "OK"
- Click "Save"
- Click "Apply" to apply the new filter to the data.
- Click "Close" to close the dialog box.

All the data with the TCP destination port of 80 will show.

In order to use a variation of the new filter to view FTP traffic on TCP destination port 21, click inside the filter tool bar text box and change 80 to 21, and then click Apply.

To remove a display filter and view the data unfiltered in Ethereal, in the filter tool bar click Clear⁵.

⁵ For more information on using Display filters see:

⁴ Sharpe, Richard. http://www.ethereal.com/docs/user-guide-sp/#ChUseFilterToolbarSection

Sharpe, Richard. http://www.ethereal.com/docs/user-guide-sp/#ChUseFilterToolbarSection; and, Orebaugh, Angela. <u>Ethereal Packet Sniffing</u>. Syngress, 2004. Pg. 172

Results of Viewing the Captured Data with Display Filters

For purposes of this test, the destination is the server from which the log files were examined with IP address of 66.92.xx.xx unless otherwise noted.

The port filter used for TCP traffic in this test was tcp.port == xy in order to obtain traffic from either the source or destination port. The same was done with UDP traffic udp.port == xy.

These are the results of an eight day traffic capture, Feb. 9, 2005, 21:52 hrs through Feb 18, 2005, 10:23 hrs.

Results

4297 packets were captured during this test. 76.03 % of the data was from TCP; 21.6% was from UDP; 1.56% was from ICMP, and 0.81% was from ARP.

Only the two highest traffic protocols of TCP & UDP are listed in this paper. In TCP, the two largest packet captures each have a graph; and in addition, the largest UDP packet capture also has a graph.

Category 1, TCP Port⁶ traffic:

tcp.port == 21 Protocol FTP as destination port with one exception as the source port, 17 packets total. Possible Ramen Worm⁷.

tcp.port == 22 SSH destination port, 32 packets, source ports varied, but nearly all were high five digit numbers.

tcp.port == 23 Telnet no traffic.

tcp.port == 25 SMTP traffic as destination port, 22 packets, from five source IP's, source ports varied, but nearly all were high five digit numbers.

tcp.port == 42 Name Server as destination port, six packets from port 80 HTTP, one frame from port 3389 MS Term Serv, the remaining frame from port 6000 Xwindows, total of eight packets of traffic.

tcp.port == 53 DNS traffic as destination port, 4 packets.

tcp.port == 79 Finger no traffic.

tcp.port == 80 HTTP destination port, 21 packets, one IP tried eight times from source port 4293.

tcp.port == 110 POP3 no traffic.

⁶ Scrambray, Joel. <u>Hacking Exposed: Network Security Secrets & Solutions,2nd Edition</u>. Berkeley, 2001. Pages 658 -660.

⁷ Orebaugh, Angela. <u>Ethereal Packet Sniffing</u>. Syngress, 2004. Pg. 371.

tcp.port == 111 Sun RPC traffic destination port, 3 consecutive packets.

tcp.port == 135 NT RPC or DCE endpoint resolution destination port, 583 packets, from multiple IP's, with several blocks of same source IP, but different source ports. This port shows up under its own Information heading of "epmap" in Ethereal.

| left neil-050209-214200.pcap | - Ethereal | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--|--|
| <u>File E</u> dit <u>Vi</u> ew <u>G</u> o <u>C</u> aptur | re <u>A</u> nalyze <u>S</u> tatistics <u>H</u> elp |) | | | | |
| | | | | | 2 | |
| 🔮 🗁 🎒 🗙 🧒 | | / 🕶 🖌 🖌 | | | 9 | |
| Filter: tcp.port==135 | | | ▼ 🕂 Expression 🏷 Clea | r 🛛 Apply | | |
| | | | | 1 | | |
| No. Time | Source + | Destination | Protocol | Info | | |
| 4 177.674462 37 12884.881403 | 204.60.xx.xx 65.147.xx.xx | 66.92.xx.xx | ТСР ТСР | | [SYN] Seq=0 Ack=0 [SYN] Seq=0 Ack=0 | |
| 42 15670.799684 | 66.91XX.XX | 66.92.xx.xx | TCP | | [SYN] Seq=0 Ack=0 | |
| 46 16025.456487 | 66.0. XX.XX | 66.92.xx.xx | TCP | | [SYN] Seq=0 Ack=0 | |
| 47 16028.459876 | 66.0.XX.XX | 66.92.xx.xx | TCP | 3205 > epmap | [SYN] Seq=0 Ack=0 | win=64240 Len=0 L |
| 55 16747.710745 | 212,160,XX.XX | 66.92.xx.xx | TCP | | [SYN] Seg=0 Ack=0 | |
| 85 22275,949465 | 65.148.XX.XX | 66.92.xx.xx 66.92.xx.xx | TCP | | [SYN] Seq=0 Ack=0 | |
| 130 30808.158405 | 66.91. XX XX | 66.92.xx.xx 66.92.xx.xx | TCP | 3866 > epmap | [SYN] Seq=0 Ack=0 | Win=64240 Len=0 (|
| 149 33424.741106 | 66.81.XX.XX | | TCP | 4592 > epmap | [SYN] Seq=0 Ack=0 | Win=8760 Len=0 M |
| 150 33427.772479 | 66.81.XX.XX | 66.92.xx.xx | TCP | 4592 > epmap | [SYN] Seq=0 Ack=0 | Win=8760 Len=0 M |
| 158 35496.445632 | 172.182.XX.XX | 66.92.xx.xx | TCP | 3634 > epmap | [SYN] Seq=0 Ack=0 | Win=32767 Len=0 (|
| 160 35930.919351 | 80.136. XX XX | 66.92.xx.xx | TCP | | [SYN] Seq=0 Ack=0 | |
| 239 48555.727011 | 83.145.XX.XX | 66.92.xx.xx 66.92.xx.xx | TCP | | [SYN] Seq=0 Ack= | |
| 249 49732.207005 | 66.90.XX.XX | | TCP | | [SYN] Seq=0 Ack=0 | |
| 250 49734.960192 | 66.90.XX.XX | 66.92.xx.xx | TCP | | [SYN] Seq=0 Ack=0 | |
| 251 49741.148537 | 66.90. ^{XX.XX} | 66.92.xx.xx | TCP | | [SYN] Seq=0 Ack=0 | |
| 282 56908.308045 | 66.92.XX.XX | 66.92.xx.xx 66.92.xx.xx | TCP | | [SYN] Seq=0 Ack=0 | |
| 291 56910.956430 298 56916.872253 | 66.92.XX.XX 66.92.XX.XX | 56.92.xx.xx | TCP | | [SYN] Seq=0 Ack=0 | |
| 306 57401.250925 | 68.239.XX.XX | 56.92.xx.xx | TCP TCP | | [SYN] Seq=0 Ack=0 | |
| 307 57693.131253 | 66, 69, XX XX | | TCP | | [SYN] Seq=0 Ack=0 [SYN] Seq=0 Ack=0 | |
| 323 61468.937258 | 172.201.XX.XX | 66.92.xx.xx | TCP | | [SYN] Seq=0 Ack=0 | |
| 324 61571.571833 | 66.167 vy vy | 66.92.xx.xx 66.92.xx.xx | TCP | | | Win=16384 Len=0 I |
| 1 | 00.10/ ** ** | _00.92.XX.XX | it P | 1191 > e0man | TATINT APPENDIALK-0 | |
| | | | | | | |
| Time to live: 12 | | | | | | le l |
| Protocol: TCP (0 | | | | | | |
| Header checksum: | 0x48c4 (correct) | | | | | |
| Source: 66.92.XX | XX (66.92.XX.XX |) | | | | |
| Destination: 66.9 | 2.xx.xx (66.92.x | x xx) | | | | |
| Transmission Contr | | | Dst Port: epmap (13 | 5), Sea: 0, Ac | k: 0. Len: 0 | |
| Source port: 381 | | ,// | | | | |
| Destination port | | | | | | |
| : | 1.1.1.1.1.1.1 | | | | | |
| | 4c 00 90 1a 40 a2 | | .@+f.L@E | | | |
| 0010 00 30 ed f1 40 | | 5c f9 bb 42 5c | .0@. . H.B\B\ | | | |
| 0020 49 7e 0e e4 00 0030 ff ff 4f 31 00 | | | I~~p. 01 | | | |
| 0050 11 11 41 31 00 | 00 02 04 03 04 01 | VI 04 02 | | | | |
| 51 1 050000 D44000 05 | (ID 004 00 04 00 04 | 50414 0 | | | | |
| File: neil-050209-214200.pcap 35 | 6 KB 204:30:31 P: 4297 D | : 594 M: 0 | | | | |

Figure 2. TCP 135 NT RPC

tcp.port == 137 NetBIOS⁸ Name Service port, no traffic.

tcp.port == 139 NETBIOS Session Service destination port, 239 packets, many different source IP's, some same source IP's for two or three scans. This shows up under its own information heading of "netbios-ssn" in Ethereal.

tcp.port == 143 Imap no traffic.

tcp.port == 162 SNMP-Trap two packets.

tcp.port == 328 Common Name unassigned⁹, seven packets as destination port with a source port of 80 HTTP, 3 different groupings of source IP's.

tcp.port == 389 ldap two packets. tcp.port == 443 HTTPS, SSL, 4 packets.

⁸ IANA, http://www.iana.org/assignments/port-numbers

⁹ IANA, http://www.iana.org/assignments/port-numbers

tcp.port == 445 Microsoft SMB destination port, 1524 packets, varied source IP's. 114 Packets with one source IP that tried a large variety of ports. Another source IP scanned for 103 packets, and another for 91 packets.

| 🍘 neil-050209-214200.pcap - I | Ethereal | |
|---|--|-----|
| <u>File E</u> dit <u>Vi</u> ew <u>G</u> o <u>C</u> apture | Analyze Statistics Help | |
| 🖻 🗁 🖪 🗙 🗞 |) 📇 � ⇔ ⇔ 🏵 🛧 🖳 �, Q, @, เ⊮ 🗈 153 ※ Ø | |
| Eilter: tcp.port == 445 | Expression & Gear & Apply | |
| No Time So | purce Destination Protocol Info | 11 |
| 2 147.322546 66 | 6.178. <mark>xx.xx 66.92._{xx.xx} TCP 3872 > microsoft-ds [SYN] Seq=0 Ack=0 Win=16384</mark> | 1 💷 |
| | 6.178.xx.xx 66.92.xx.xx TCP 3872 > microsoft-ds [SYN] Seq=0 Ack=0 win=16384 | |
| | 01.138. XX.XX 66.92.XX.XX TCP 2450 > microsoft-ds [SYN] Seq=0 Ack=0 Win=64240 | |
| 11 1972.334609 20 | 01.138. ^{XX,XX} 66.92.XX,XX TCP 2450 > microsoft-ds [SYN] Seg=0 Ack=0 Win=64240 | |
| | 16.78.XX.XX 66.92.XX.XX TCP 3085 > microsoft-ds [SYN] Seg=0 Ack=0 Win=8160 L | |
| | 16.78. ^{XX,XX} 66.92.XX,XX TCP 3085 > microsoft-ds [SYN] Seg=0 Ack=0 Win=8160 L | |
| | 4.121. XX.XX 66.92. XX.XX TCP 3904 > microsoft-ds [SYN] seq=0 Ack=0 win=16384 | |
| | 6.116. XX.XX 66.92. XX.XX TCP 4945 > microsoft-ds [SYN] seq=0 Ack=0 win=16384 | |
| | 6.116. XX.XX 66.92. XX.XX TCP 4945 > microsoft-ds [SYN] Seq=0 Ack=0 win=16384 | |
| | 5.84. xx xx 66.92. xx.xx TCP 3125 > microsoft-ds [SYN] Seq=0 Ack=0 win=64240 | |
| | 5.84.xx.xx 66.92.xx.xx TCP 3125 > microsoft-ds [SYN] seq=0 Ack=0 win=64240 | |
| | 1.231.XX.XX 66.92.XX.XX TCP 3301 > microsoft-ds [SYN] seq=0 Ack=0 win=64800 | |
| 26 5530.552683 61 | | |
| | | |
| 27 5536.565261 61 | | |
| 30 10880. 528358 66 | | |
| 31 10883.455188 66 | | |
| 39 14571.480328 21 | | |
| 40 14579.140151 21 | | |
| 45 15981.338911 24 | | |
| 52 16361.561980 66 | | |
| 53 16364.461762 66 | | |
| 57 16871.884412 14 | 41.150.xx.xx 66.92.XX.XX TCP 2720 > microsoft-ds [SYN] Seq=0 Ack=0 Win=16384 | |
| 58 16874.300834 14 | 41.150.XXXX 66.92.XXXX TCP 2720 > microsoft-ds [SYN] Seq=0 Ack=0 Win=16384 | |
| 59 16880, 909603 14 | 41.150. ^{XX,XX} 66.92.XX,XX TCP 2720 > microsoft-ds [SYN] Seq=0 Ack=0 Win=16384 | |
| 64 17337,069103 66 | | |
| | | |
| ▷ Frame 2 (62 bytes or | n wire, 62 bytes captured) | |
| ▶ Ethernet II. Src: 00 | 0:90:1a:40:a2:9f, Dst: 00:40:2b:66:d6:4c | |
| | Src Addr: 66.178. ^{xx.xx} (66.178. ^{xx.xx}), Dst Addr: 66.92. ^{xx.xx} (66.92. _{xx.xx}) | |
| | 1 Protocol, Src Port: 3872 (3872), DSt Port: microsoft- 5), Seq: k: 0, Len: 0 | |
| p IT answission concro | r Plotocol, Sic Polt. 5072 (5072), DSt Polt. microsoft- 5), Seq. K. O, Len. O | |
| l | | |
| 0000 00 40 2b 66 d6 4 | 4C 00 90 1a 40 a2 9f 08 00 45 20E | |
| | 0 76 06 e8 d3 42 b2 5b 22 42 5c .0.%@.vB.["B\ | |
| 0020 49 7e 0f 20 01 b | od 85 69 d3 63 00 00 00 00 70 02 I~i.cp. | |
| | 0 02 04 05 b4 01 01 04 02 @ | |
| 1 | | |
| | 6 - | |
| File: neil-050209-214200.pcap 356 | KB 204:30:3: P: 4297 D: 1526 M: 0 | 1 |

Figure 3. TCP 445 Microsoft SMB

445 Microsoft SMB destination port shows up under its own Information heading of "Microsoft-ds" in Ethereal.

tcp.port == 515 printer destination port, 3 consecutive packets, possible Ramen¹⁰.

tcp.port == 554 Real Time Stream Control Protocol.

Destination port, 23 packets, from 12 different source IP's.

tcp.port == 943 unassigned destination port, 17 packets, 5 incremental source IP's, all source ports are port 80 HTTP.

tcp.port == 1025 network blackjack destination port, 21 packets, 8 source IP's. tcp.port == 1080 Socks dozen packets.

tcp.port == 1313 bmc-patroldb Source port, destination port 445 MS SMB, 1 frame.

¹⁰ Orebaugh, Angela. <u>Ethereal Packet Sniffing</u>. Syngress, 2004. Pg. 371.

tcp.port == 1243 SubSeven port, destination or source port, 4 packets, from two different IP's. Two packets with source port of 1243 went to destination port of 445 MS NetBIOS. Other two packets had source port of 4132 NUTS Daemon is default and destination port of 1243.

tcp.port == 1433 MS SQL destination port, 96 packets, varied IP's, one block of traffic had same source IP for 9 packets, using 5 different source ports. This shows up under its own Information heading of "ms-sql-s" in Ethereal.

tcp.port == 1434 Microsoft SQL Monitor no traffic.

tcp.port == 2301 Compaq-web three packets source port, destination port 445 MS SMB.

tcp.port == 3300 bmc-patrol-agent two frame source port, destination port 445 MS SMB.

tcp.port == 2745 Bagel back door¹¹, destination port, nine packets.

tcp.port == 3127 My Doom back door¹², 12 packets, from five IP addresses, two had source ports of 3127, and the remaining had destination ports of 3127. The two packets with source port of 3127 had a destination port of 445 MS SMB destination.

tcp.port == 3198 My Doom back door¹³, no traffic. tcp.port == 3306 MySQL destination port 37 packets, 21 source IP's, SQL worm. MySQL UDF Worm¹⁴.

tcp.port == 3351 ssql source port, five packets, two groupings, consecutive packets, destination port 445.

tcp.port == 3389 MS Term Serv, both source and destination port. Destination port, nine packets, 5 source IP's. Source port, six packets, 3 source IP's.

tcp.port == 4001 Cisco-Mgmt source port, two consecutive fames, destination port 445 MS SMB.

tcp.port == 4045 NFS-Lockd two consecutive packets source port, destination port 135 NT RPC.

tcp.port == 4899 Radmin remote access port¹⁵, destination port, 109 packets, 12 packets from same IP address.

¹¹ Nazario, Jose. http://ims.eecs.umich.edu/worm_report/

¹² Nazario, Jose. http://ims.eecs.umich.edu/worm_report/

¹³ Nazario, Jose. http://ims.eecs.umich.edu/worm_report/

¹⁴ US-Cert, http://www.us-cert.gov/current/current_activity.html#MySQLUDF

¹⁵ Scheidell, Michael. http://www.securityfocus.com/archive/1/290099/2002-09-01/2002-09-07/0

tcp.port == 5631 PCAnywhere no traffic. tcp.port == 5800 VNC no traffic. tcp.port == 5900 RealVNC no traffic.

tcp.port == 6000 XWindows, source port for 12 packets of traffic; six had destination port of 1433 MS SQL; two had destination of port 4899 Radmin, one a destination port of 42 Name Server; one a destination port of 3389 MS Term Serv; one a destination port of 6129.

tcp.port == 6667 IRC clients, common Trojan port, 7 packets, all from same IP address. Variety of Exploits:

W32.gaobot.cii Backdoor.lateda.b Protoride.b Backdoor.Alcani W32.spybot.dnb W32.Randex.ATS W32.korgo.a SubSeven¹⁶

tcp.port == 6711 - 6713 SubSeven¹⁷ ports, no traffic. tcp.port == 6776 SubSeven port¹⁸, no traffic. tcp.port == 6777 Bagle.A port¹⁹, no traffic.

tcp.port == 7000 Exploit port variety of attacks. Traffic 53 packets source port and 29 packets destination port.

Exploit Translation Server, Kazimas, Remote Grab, SubSeven, SubSeven 2.1 Gold²⁰

tcp.port == 8000 Web applications, destination port, 5 packets. tcp.port == 8080 Web applications, 7 packets (5 from same IP address), and destination port.

tcp.port == 8420 Unassigned²¹ port as a common name, destination port for all 13 packets, 8 different source IP's (sometimes the same source IP will try the same attack several times).

tcp.port == 12345 NetBus²² No traffic.

¹⁶ http://www.doshelp.com/Ports/6667.htm

¹⁷ SANS Institute. <u>Track 1 - Internet Security Technologies</u> Jan 2004. Pg 166.

¹⁸ SANS Institute. Track 1 - Internet Security Technologies Jan 2004. Pg 166.

¹⁹ Nazario, Jose. http://ims.eecs.umich.edu/worm_report/

²⁰ http://www.blackcode.com/trojans/ports.php?port=7000

²¹ IANA, http://www.iana.org/assignments/port-numbers

²² SANS Institute. <u>Track 1 - Internet Security Technologies</u> Jan 2004. Pg. 162.

tcp.port == 12346 NetBus²³ No traffic. tcp.port == 27374 default SubSeven²⁴ & Ramen²⁵ port no traffic. tcp.port == 31337 Back Orifice²⁶ no traffic. tcp.port == 32771 rcp-solaris no traffic. tcp.port == 43188 reachout no traffic.

tcp.port == 50736 Unknown²⁷ common port, destination port for all 17 packets (10 different source IP's, one IP tried same attack six consecutive times, some IP's repeated non-consecutive traffic. Eleven packets from port 80 HTTP, three packets from port 7000, one from port 7777, one from port 21 (FTP), one from port 4000.

tcp.port == 65301 PCAnywhere – def no traffic tcp.port == 65535 or 0, Sons of Jackal²⁸ no traffic

<u>Category 2, UDP port traffic</u> udp.port == 53 DNS²⁹ no traffic udp.port == 69 TFTP³⁰ no traffic udp.port == 135 DCE endpoint resolution no traffic

udp.port == 137 NetBIOS Name Server³¹ destination port, 577 packets, largest block of same source IP is 20 packets, the packets were only consecutive a few at a time. It appears this Source IP retried its attack every thousand packets or so.

The scan has the characteristics of older port scanning worm network.vbs³² and its derivatives.

²³ SANS Institute. <u>Track 1 - Internet Security Technologies</u> Jan 2004. Pg. 162.

²⁴ SANS Institute. Track 1 - Internet Security Technologies Jan 2004. Pg. 163

²⁵ Orebaugh, Angela. <u>Ethereal Packet Sniffing</u>. Syngress, 2004. P. 371

²⁶ SANS Institute. <u>Track 1 - Internet Security Technologies</u> Jan 2004. Pg. 167.

²⁷ IANA, http://www.iana.org/assignments/port-numbers

²⁸ SANS Institute. Track 1 - Internet Security Technologies Jan 2004. Pg. 173.

²⁹ IANA, http://www.iana.org/assignments/port-numbers

³⁰ IANA, http://www.iana.org/assignments/port-numbers

³¹ IANA, http://www.iana.org/assignments/port-numbers

³² Alexander, Bryce. http://www.sans.org/resources/idfaq/port_137.php

| @ neil-050209-214200.pcap - Ethereal Elle Edit View Go Capture Analyze Statistics Help | _0 |
|---|--|
| B > B > C D | |
| 💽 Eilter: Udp.port == 137 🗨 🖶 Expression 🗞 Glear 🖋 Apply | |
| o Time Source Destination Protocol Info | |
| 5 298.576103 222.232 xx.xx 66.92, bx.xx NBNS Name query NBSTAT *<00><00><00><00><00><00><00><00><00<>00 00><00><00><00><00><00><00><00><00><00 | 0><00><00>< 0><00><00>< 00><00><00>< 00><00>< |
| 84 22110.43663 218.18.xx.xx 66.92.xx.xx Name query NBSTAT *<00><00><00><00><00><00><00><00><00><00 | |
| Class: IN | |
| P: 4297D: 577M: 0 | |

Figure 4. UDP 137 NetBIOS Name Server

The Ethereal Summary window Information column per packet contains: Name query NBSTAT

The below, is from the Ethereal Data View Window of packet 5; however, the characteristic "CKAAAAAAA" repeats itself in each packet.

Verified NetBIOS traffic is only to destination port by using "udp.dstport" in Ethereal display filter as well. This traffic shows up under its own Protocol heading of NBNS in Ethereal.

udp.port == 139 NetBIOS Session Service³³ no traffic.

³³ IANA, http://www.iana.org/assignments/port-numbers

```
udp.port == 445 Microsoft SMB<sup>34</sup> no traffic.
udp.port == 1433 Microsoft-SQL-Server<sup>35</sup> no traffic.
udp.port == 1434 Microsoft SQL Monitor<sup>36</sup>, destination port, 69 packets.
```

udp.port == 31337 Backorifice³⁷ no traffic. udp.port == 27374 default SubSeven³⁸ no traffic.

A summary of all the protocols found by Ethereal by the menu bar, Statistics -Protocol Hierarchy graph:

| Ethereal: Protocol Hierarchy Statistics | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|---------|--------|--------|-------------|-----------|------------|-----|
| otocol | % Packets | Packets | Bytes | Mbit/s | End Packets | End Bytes | End Mbit/s | |
| Frame | 100.00% | 4297 | 512690 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | | 0.0 |
| | 100.00% | 4297 | 512690 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | | 0.0 |
| ▽ Internet Protocol | 99.19% | 4262 | 510590 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | | 0.0 |
| Internet Control Message Protocol | 1.56% | 67 | 5590 | 0.000 | 67 | 5590 | | 0.0 |
| Transmission Control Protocol | 76.03% | 3267 | 203442 | 0.000 | 3267 | 203442 | | 0.0 |
| User Datagram Protocol | 21.60% | 928 | 301558 | 0.000 | 1 | 922 | | 0.0 |
| NetBIOS Name Service | 13.43% | 577 | 53084 | 0.000 | 577 | 53084 | | 0.0 |
| Data | 8.12% | 349 | 246630 | 0.000 | 349 | 246630 | | 0.0 |
| RX Protocol | 0.02% | 1 | 922 | 0.000 | 1 | 922 | | 0.0 |
| Address Resolution Protocol | 0.81% | 35 | 2100 | 0.000 | 35 | 2100 | | 0.0 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | ¢ | ĸ | | | | | |

Figure 5. Protocol Hierarchy Summary Graph

³⁴ IANA, http://www.iana.org/assignments/port-numbers

³⁵ IANA, http://www.iana.org/assignments/port-numbers

³⁶ IANA, http://www.iana.org/assignments/port-numbers

 ³⁷ SANS Institute. <u>Track 1 - Internet Security Technologies</u> Jan 2004. Pg. 167.
 ³⁸ SANS Institute. <u>Track 1 - Internet Security Technologies</u> Jan 2004. Pg. 166.

Conclusion

Traffic usually repeated itself from the same IP and same source port two to three consecutive times against a specific destination port. For example, source IP 218.30.21.xxx, TCP source port http, destination port 328 showed up two times in a row twice: frames 1177 & 1178; and 1182 & 1183. One possible explanation of this may be that the attacker hopes to protect against dropped or timed-out traffic per connection. For example: the attacker attempts a connection, SYN, several times using the same method and hopes that one attempt should be successful with an SYN/ACK.

Many of the attacks were against recent well published vulnerabilities. These were mostly Microsoft with some Linux as well. However, any system is vulnerable to attack.

In Ethereal display filter, the query tcp.flags.syn==1&&tcp.flags.ack==1³⁹ showed three or more attempted connections against open ports:

One interpretation of this attack style is:

The attackers seem to have tricks to get through firewalls, including sending various types of malformed packets. A firewall may be configured not to let new connections in, so an attacker will set the "ACK" flag to try

making any such firewalls along the way think that the packet is part of an open TCP connection. The packets erroneously show up in your analysis as belonging to open connections for this same reason⁴⁰.

However, in this case, the IP did not send out a single packet. "One cannot have a working TCP connection without data flowing in both directions.⁴¹"

"The other thing that some naively-configured firewalls do is accept traffic from well-known ports. For example, your firewall may accept traffic from port 3389 so that you can successfully connect to somebody else's Microsoft Terminal Server⁴²." This was partially seen in the TCP traffic captured and noted earlier going to port 3389. "Because the attackers have

³⁹ Orebaugh, Angela. <u>Ethereal Packet Sniffing</u>. Syngress, 2004. Pg. 355.

⁴⁰ Madden, Patrick. E-mail. March 2005.

⁴¹ Madden, Patrick. E-mail. March 2005.

⁴² Madden, Patrick. E-mail. March 2005.

complete control over source port, they try using source port numbers that a naively-configured firewall will allow through, thinking that it's a connection the user opened.⁴³" The main way to counter the above threat would be to place the server behind a firewall on a private network (10.x.x.x for example), and to have the trusted users or machines connect to the servers via a gatekeeper service, such as a VPN (Virtual Private Network) or a TCP connection tunneled through SSH.

I also expected to see incremental increasing source IP addresses due to IP spoofing. This was not the case.

One of the disappointments of Ethereal was that I could not find a simple way to get an automated count of the exact number of packets used by a specific protocol and port, e.g., TCP port 7000. I am not familiar with Tcpdump or WinDump, and was seeking a way to get a text file from Ethereal that could be imported into an application like MS Excel and be manipulated. It took some time to play with all text exporting options to find what I was seeking. I include the following to save a person the same effort in the future.

While reading Display file in Ethereal

- click File
- Export as "Plain Text file",
- uncheck Packet Details,
- type in path and name of file,
- click OK.

When open this text file in Excel,

- choose Delimited,
- with a delimiter of a space.

⁴³ Madden, Patrick. E-mail. March 2005.

References

- Alexander, Bryce. "Intrusion Detection FAQ: Port 137 Scan." SANS. May 10, 2000. URL: <u>http://www.sans.org/resources/idfaq/port_137.php</u>
- "Show Trojan By Port Number." Blackcode Trojan Library. March 12, 2005. URL: <u>http://www.blackcode.com/trojans/ports.php</u>
- "Known Trojans/Worms for Port: 6667." Doshelp.com Known Trojans/Worms for Port: 6667. Feb 2005. URL: <u>http://www.doshelp.com/Ports/6667.htm</u>
- 4. Hazeleger, Dick. "Packet Sniffing: A 'Crash course'." 2003. URL: http://security.hazeleger.net/
- 5. IANA, "Port Numbers." February 23, 2005. URL: http://www.iana.org/assignments/port-numbers
- Nazario, Jose, and Evan Cooke. "Increased Bagle and MyDoom Backdoor Scanning Activity." Arbor Networks. March 26, 2004. URL: <u>http://ims.eecs.umich.edu/worm_report/</u>
- Madden, Patrick. "Re: Preliminary results very rough." E-mail reply to Neil Orlando from computer security consultant giving feed back on findings after data analysis by author for this practical paper. March 7, 2005. 5:38pm.
- 8. OPENXTRA Limited. "Ethereal Setting a Display Filter." URL: <u>http://www.openxtra.co.uk/support/howto/ethereal-display-filter.php</u>
- 9. OPENXTRA Limited. "Getting Started with Ethereal." May 2003. URL: <u>http://www.openxtra.co.uk/support/documentation/ethereal-getting-started-guide.pdf</u>
- 10. Orebaugh, Angela, Greg Morris, Ed Warnicke, and Gilbert Ramirez. <u>Ethereal Packet Sniffing</u>. Rockland: Syngress, 2004.
- 11.SANS Institute. <u>Track 1 Internet Security Technologies</u>, Volume 1.3. SANS Press , Jan 2004.
- 12. Scheidell, Michael. "SECNAP Security Alert: Radmin Default install options vulnerability." SecurityFocus. September 2, 2002. URL: http://www.securityfocus.com/archive/1/290099/2002-09-01/2002-09-

07/0.

- <u>13.</u>Scambray, Joel, Stuart McClure, George Kurtz. <u>Hacking Exposed:</u> <u>Network Security Secrets & Solutions</u>, 2nd Edition. Berkeley: Osbourne/McGraw-Hill, 2001.
- 14.Sharpe, Richard, Ed Warnicke, Ulf Lamping. "Ethereal User's Guide." 2004. URL: http://www.ethereal.com/docs/user-guide/
- 15. US-CERT, "US-Cert Current Activity." March 11, 2005 15:05:14 EST. URL: http://www.us-cert.gov/current/current_activity.html

© SANS Institute 2000 - 2005