

Global Information Assurance Certification Paper

Copyright SANS Institute Author Retains Full Rights

This paper is taken from the GIAC directory of certified professionals. Reposting is not permited without express written permission.

Interested in learning more?

Check out the list of upcoming events offering "Network Monitoring and Threat Detection In-Depth (Security 503)" at http://www.giac.org/registration/gcia

The Age of Encryption

GIAC GCIA Gold Certification

Author: Wes Whitteker, wes_whitt@yahoo.com

Advisor: Richard Carbone

Accepted: November 2nd, 2016

Abstract

Over the last few years, there has been an increasing movement toward encrypting Internet communication. Though this movement increases the confidentiality of transmitted information, it also severely limits the ability of security tools to analyze Internet traffic for malicious content. This paper investigates the growth of encrypted Internet traffic (i.e. HTTPS) and its impact on Cybersecurity. This paper also proposes an open source solution for decrypting and inspecting Internet traffic accommodating IPv4 and v6 for both home and small-to-medium sized business (SMB) use.

1. Introduction

Since the beginning of the Internet, encryption has been in use to protect sensitive information such as financial data, personal data, etc. As the Internet has evolved, the use of encryption has been steadily increasing in parallel through the use of both the Secure Sockets Layer (SSL) and Transport Layer Security (TLS) protocols (Netcraft, n.d.). Over the last few years, this increase in SSL and TLS Internet communication has been very significant due in large part to disclosures and disputes that have created public concern for privacy. These privacy concerns have created additional momentum around the growth of SSL and TLS communication on the Internet (IETF, 2014 & Letsencrypt.org, n.d.).

This increased momentum for encrypting Internet communication is creating a significant dilemma for cybersecurity professionals. Since networking is the glue that pulls all computing technologies together, it serves as a key environment for cybersecurity professionals to identify malicious activity. However, the burst of growth in encrypted communication is creating "blind spots." Often times, cybersecurity professionals need deep visibility into the traffic traversing their networks in order to determine if communication is legitimate or illegitimate. Unfortunately, this analytical capability is severely hampered when communication is encrypted (Mayfield, 2015).

There have been several examples of areas where malicious actors and malware are leveraging the growth in encryption to their benefit. In a 2015 report, it was shown that malware command and control servers using SSL and TLS communication increased by 200 times, and malware samples using encrypted communication increased by 58 times. When considering these data points, it is clear that cybersecurity professionals are facing a significant challenge around encrypted communication analysis. Cybersecurity professionals can address this challenge by decrypting and inspecting SSL and TLS communication (A10 Networks, 2015, Davis, 2016, & Segura, 2015).

2. Overview of the Internet

To understand the current state of SSL and TLS communication, it is important to briefly look at the history of the Internet and its use of both SSL and TLS. The following subsections provide an overview of the creation of the World Wide Web (WWW) and the usage of SSL and TLS with the Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP), commonly referred to as "HTTPS." For the remainder of the paper, SSL and TLS will be collectively referred to as "TLS" unless referred to specifically by version.

2.1. World Wide Web and HTTP Background

The inception of the Internet can be traced back to the Cold War, which created a sense of urgency within the United States to increase their focus on science and technology developments. This initiative resulted in the establishment of several key organizations and the publishing of several academic research papers that laid the foundation for packet switched networks. This foundation led to the standardization of the Transmission Control Protocol/Internet Protocol (TCP/IP) suite; the first Domain Name System (DNS); the Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA); and the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) (History.com, n.d., Pew Research Center, n.d., World Wide Web Foundation, n.d., & World Wide Web Consortium, n.d.).

In 1991, CERN introduced the World Wide Web (WWW) to the masses (World Wide Web Foundation, n.d., & World Wide Web Consortium, n.d.). The component of the WWW that is used to transfer data is the Hyper Text Transfer Protocol (HTTP). The IETF began oversight of the HTTP in 1996 (IETF, 1996). The latest IETF recommended version of HTTP is 1.1, which became an IETF draft standard in June of 1999 (IETF, 1999 & W3C, 2004). The core of the HTTP 1.1 protocol is now covered as a proposed standard under two IETF Request for Comments (RFCs): RFC7230 & RFC7231 (IETF HTTP WG, n.d). The latest approach to HTTP is HTTP/2, which is an extension of the Google SPDY project. HTTP/2 is now an IETF proposed standard (IETF, 2015). The intent of HTTP/2 is to improve upon the overall performance of HTTP 1.1 (e.g. less TCP connections). However, HTTP/2 is being advertised by the IETF as an alternative to HTTP 1.1 instead of obsoleting it (IETF HTTP WG, n.d.a.).

HTTP/2 does not require encryption for use. However, there are no browsers currently being developed that support HTTP/2 without the use of encryption. HTTP/2 does seek to improve TLS based security by defining a profile that includes a specific version of TLS, a cipher suite blacklist, and extensions used (IETF HTTP WG, n.d.a.). Specifically, HTTP/2 requires that TLS 1.2 or greater is used, and recommends the use of RFC 7525, Recommendations for Secure Use of Transport Layer Security (TLS) and Datagram Transport Layer Security (DTLS). In addition to the TLS version minimum being set to 1.2, HTTP/2 also uses a blacklist for cipher suites that can be found here: https://http2.github.io/http2-spec/#BadCipherSuites (IETF HTTP WG, n.d.b.).

Further, under HTTP/2, TLS must leverage Server Name Indication (SNI), which requires that a client specify the domain name with which it intends to communicate. SNI is explained under RFC 6066, Transport Layer Security (TLS) Extensions: Extension Definitions (IETF HTTP WG, n.d.b). If HTTP/2 uses TLS 1.3 or higher the use of only SNI is required. However, if TLS 1.2 is used, SNI is still required but there are additional restrictions. The restrictions under TLS 1.2 include disabling compression; disabling renegotiation (except prior to connection preface information); support for ephemeral key exchange sizes of at least 2048 bits for cipher suites that use ephemeral finite field Diffie-Hellman (DHE) and 224 bits for cipher suites that use ephemeral elliptic curve Diffie-Hellman (ECDHE); and clients need to accept DHE sizes of up to 4096 bits (IETF HTTP WG, n.d.b).

2.2. SSL and TLS Background

Though HTTP has done an outstanding job in formatting and transmitting information for the WWW, it only offers basic authentication capabilities and does not offer a mechanism for encryption (IETF, 1999b). The primary response to this was the development of SSL. In 1994, Netscape, supported by MasterCard, Bank of America, MDI & Silicon Graphics, introduced SSL (Hwang, 2012, Ristic, 2014, & Wireshark.org, n.d.). Today, the SSL and TLS (successor protocol to SSL) protocols provide the mechanisms necessary for confidentiality, authentication, integrity, and non-repudiation around much of the secure communications on the modern WWW (CIO.gov, n.d. & Evsslcertificate.com, n.d.).

The first public release of SSL was version 2 with version 3 being released in 1996 (IETF, 2011). It was at this time that the IETF began to officially acknowledge SSL by the establishment of the TLS working group (IETF TLS WG, n.d.). The TLS working group used SSL 3.0 as the foundation for developing the TLS 1.0 protocol. In 1999, the IETF published TLS 1.0 under RFC 2246 (IETF, 1999a). Since the initial release of TLS there have been 2 additional versions released, TLS 1.1 (RFC 4346) and TLS 1.2 (RFC 5246) (IETF, 2006 & IETF, 2008). Currently, there is a draft of TLS 1.3 (IETF, 2016). Due to security issues in previous versions of both SSL and TLS, the IETF encourages the use of TLS 1.2 through RFC 7568, which also officially deprecates SSL 3.0 (IETF, 2015a). Further, the IETF offers a specific RFC for using TLS in a secure manner (IETF, 2015b).

In addition to the IETF recommending the use of TLS version 1.2, the US National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) recommends the use of TLS version 1.2 as well (Chokhani, McKay, Polk, 2014). The recommendation for moving to TLS 1.2 is due, in large part, to security issues (wolfSSL.com, 2010). This can be seen when comparing the changes of TLS 1.1 and TLS 1.2. The following tables offer the major improvements in TLS versions 1.1 and 1.2 as well as the security updates to TLS 1.2:

TLS 1.1 Major Updates	TLS 1.2 Major Updates
The implicit Initialization Vector (IV) is replaced with an explicit IV to protect against CBC attacks.	The MD5/SHA-1 combination in the pseudorandom function (PRF) has been replaced with cipher-suite-specified PRFs. All cipher suites in this document use P SHA256.
Handling of padding errors is changed to use the bad_record_mac alert rather than the decryption_failed alert to protect against CBC attacks.	The MD5/SHA-1 combination in the digitally-signed element has been replaced with a single hash. Signed elements now include a field that explicitly specifies the hash algorithm used.
IANA registries are defined for protocol parameters.	Substantial cleanup to the client's and server's ability to specify which hash and signature algorithms they will accept. Note that this also relaxes some of the constraints on signature and hash algorithms from previous versions of TLS.
Premature closes no longer cause a session to be nonresumable.	Addition of support for authenticated encryption with additional data modes.
Additional informational notes were added for various new attacks on TLS.	TLS Extensions definition and AES Cipher Suites were merged in from external [TLSEXT] and [TLSAES]. Tighter checking of EncryptedPreMasterSecret version numbers. Tightened up a number of requirements. Verify_data length now depends on the cipher suite (default is still 12).

 Table 1: Major updates to TLS 1.1 and TLS 1.2 (IETF, 2006 & IETF, 2008)

Cleaned up description of Bleichenbacher/Klima attack
defenses.
Alerts MUST now be sent in many cases.
After a certificate request, if no certificates are available,
clients now MUST send an empty certificate list.
TLS RSA WITH AES 128 CBC SHA is now the
mandatory to implement cipher suite.
Added HMAC-SHA256 cipher suites.
Removed IDEA and DES cipher suites. They are now
deprecated and will be documented in a separate
document.
Support for the SSLv2 backward-compatible hello is now
a MAY, not a SHOULD, with sending it a SHOULD
NOT. Support will probably become a SHOULD NOT in
the future.
Added limited "fall-through" to the presentation language
to allow multiple case arms to have the same encoding.
Added an Implementation Pitfalls sections
The usual clarifications and editorial work.

Table 2: Security updates to TLS 1.2 (IETF, 2008)					
<u>RFC Number</u>	<u>RFC Title</u>				
RFC 5746	TLS Renegotiation Indication Extension				
RFC 5878	TLS Authorization Extensions				
RFC 6176	Prohibiting SSL Version 2.0				
RFC7465	Prohibiting RC4 Cipher Suites				
RFC 7507	TLS Fallback Signaling Cipher Suite Value (SCSV)				
KFC /30/	for Preventing Protocol Downgrade Attacks				
RFC 7568	Deprecating Secure Sockets Layer Version 3.0				
RFC 7627	TLS Session Hash and Extended Master Secret				
KrC /02/	Extension				
RFC 7685	A TLS ClientHello Padding Extension				
RFC 7905	ChaCha20-Poly1305 Cipher Suites for TLS				
DEC 7010	Negotiated Finite Field Diffie-Hellman Ephemeral				
RFC 7919	Parameters for TLS				
	Parameters for 1LS				

Table 2: Security updates to TLS 1.2 (IETF, 2008)

As can be clearly seen when looking at the improvements in the list of major updates to TLS 1.1 and 1.2, TLS version 1.2 has had a significant amount of work completed around its security functionality. In general, TLS 1.1 added support for TLS extensions and TLS 1.2 added support for authenticated encryption and removed hardcoded security features (Ristic, 2015).

2.3. HTTP and TLS

The parent IETF RFC that ties TLS to HTTP is 2818, though TLS can be used with several other popular Internet protocols (IETF, 2000 & Wireshark.org, n.d.). RFC 2818 has been updated by both RFCs 7230 and 5785. In addition, RFC 2817 provides

guidance on upgrading an HTTP connection to an HTTPS connection, and RFC 2817 has been updated by both RFCs 7230 and 7231.

3. Technicalities of HTTPS Communication on the Internet

It is extremely import for cybersecurity professionals looking for a possible HTTPS decryption solution to understand the protocol at a detailed level. As such, the following section will cover the key technical aspects of the TLS protocol. The major areas of discussion will be the Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) and the TLS protocol.

3.1. Public Key Infrastructure (PKI)

Much of the HTTPS communication performed today relies on what is known as the Public Key Infrastructure (PKI). Today's PKI is based on an architecture standard known as X.509. At the core of the Internet's PKI are certificate authorities, which are trusted to issue certificates for use in several key TLS encryption functions. There are four key entities in PKI known as the Subscriber, Registration Authority (RA), Certification Authority (CA), and the Relying Party. The subscriber is an entity that desires to support secure communication (i.e. the website owner). An RA performs many of the management activities needed for certification issuance such as verifying an identity. A CA is the key to the Internet's PKI because it is trusted with issuing and revoking certificates. The relying party is normally associated with an end user's web browser. The web browser performs validation of certificates by leveraging a local root trust store. If a website's public certificate is received from a CA the browser has a root trust store for, the browser will trust the website certificate, otherwise the user will receive a browser message that the certificate is unknown or untrusted (Risitc, 2015).

3.1.1. Certificates

The overall reason the PKI has been built is to share certificates that are used to establish trust between a server and a web browser. Certificates are essentially a digital document with several specific fields and associated values depending on the version of the certificate. Certificates are formatted based on a standard known as the Abstract

Syntax Notation One (ASN.1) and often communicated in Privacy-Enhanced Mail (PEM) encoding (Ristic, 2015). Table 4 provides the key fields seen in a certificate.

<u>Field Name</u>	Field Description
Version	Provides the specific certificate version of either 1,
	2, or 3.
Serial Number	Uniquely identifies the CA of the certificate.
Signature Algorithm	Provides the signature algorithm used for the
	certificate signature.
Issuer	Contains a distinguished name (DN) of the
	certificate issuer.
Validity	Provides the length of time that the certificate is
	valid via both a start and end date.
Subject	The DN for the entity associated with the public
	key. This field has been deprecated for Subject
	Alternative Name Extension Field.
Public Key	The public key.
Extensions	Extensions were added in certificate version 3 to
	ensure future flexibility. There are now several
	extensions available for use including: Subject
	Alternative Name, Name Constraints, Basic
	Constraints, Key Usage, Extended Key Usage,
	Certificate Policies, CRL Distribution Points,
	Authority Information Access, Subject Key
	Identifier, and Authority Key Identifier.

Table 3: Key Certificate Fields (Ristic, 2015)

Certificates are issued using a specific process associated with the type of certificate requested. There are three processes used for certificate issuance: Domain Validation (DV), Organizational Validation (OV), and Extended Validation (EV). EV is the most rigorous and was developed out of security issues with the other two processes. Once the appropriate validation process is completed, the requesting party receives the certificate. In order for a relying entity to validate certificates, they maintain a list of "trusted" root CA certificates. In general, most applications (e.g. browsers) rely on the underlying operating system to manage certificate trust store. However, there are cases where applications will not rely on the OS trust store (e.g. Mozilla & Chrome) (Ristic, 2015).

3.1.2. Certificate Revocation, HTTP Strict Transport Security (HSTS), & Certificate Pinning

The two methods of certificate revocation are Certificate Revocation Lists (CRL) and the Online Certificate Status Protocol (OCSP). The CRL provides a list of revoked

certificates that are still unexpired – these are large lists. The OCSP addresses the CRL issue of large lists by looking up a certificate's status in real-time. To address some of the security concerns around the TLS and the current PKI architecture, there have been several initiatives to improve these weaknesses. Two of the most significant developments have been HTTP Strict Transport Security (HSTS) and Certificate Pinning (Ristic, 2015).

HSTS is a standard covered under IETF RFC 6797 and is focused on ensuring the confidentiality and authenticity of communication between a browser and a server. This capability mitigated the SSL Stripping attack presented by Moxie Marlinspike at Blackhat in 2009 (Marlinspike, n.d.). The two key functions of HSTS are it forces the browser to use HTTPS communication instead of HTTP and it does not allow users to click through browser certificate warnings. In terms of decrypting TLS traffic, this could create some issues (e.g. browser security warnings) depending on the specific architecture. The simplest way to mitigate possible issues is to leverage a Man-In-The-Middle (MITM) decryption solution and install a trusted certificate used by all internal system browser-trusted stores (superconfigure.wordpress.com, 2013).

The key feature with pinning is that it allows domain owners to specify specific CAs that are authorized to issue certificates for their domains. This prevents an illegitimate entity from creating unauthorized certificates for a domain of their choosing. There are 2 basic types of certificate pinning: Hard Certificate Pinning and CA Pinning. Hard certificate pinning requires the exact match of the server certificate presented to the client or the communication is prevented. Hard certificate pinning is rarely used because many enterprises block it and there could be complications if the hard coded certificate needs changed. CA Pinning, on the other hand, trusts a limited set of CAs. As such, this type of pinning can be successfully decrypted using a root certificate that is manually added to system browser-trusted stores. This allows MITM capabilities for encrypted traffic inspection (Bluecoat, 2016 & Ristic, 2015).

3.2. The TLS Protocol

From a high level, TLS is broken into two major protocols known as the record protocol and the handshake protocol. The record protocol manages the transportation, encryption and integrity, compression, and extensibility feature of the TLS protocol. The Wes Whitteker, wes_whitt@yahoo.com handshake protocol negotiates the connection settings and authentication between the client and server. The handshake protocol consists of three "subprotocols" referred to as the change cipher spec protocol, application data protocol, and the alert protocol. The handshake protocol can take three forms: full handshake including server authentication, handshake with client/server authentication, and abbreviated handshake (resuming an earlier session) (Ristic, 2015).

The main difference between a full handshake with server only authentication and a full handshake with both server and client authentication (i.e. mutual authentication) is in the latter method the server requests a certificate from the client as part of its handshake messages. In response to the servers' additional request, the client provides its certificate and verifies it is the owner of the certificate. The handshake that happens during a session resumption leverages the Session IDs contained in the ClientHello and ServerHello messages. The benefit of this handshake method is that it saves time in establishing a new session by cutting the amount of handshake message in half. The most common handshake is the full handshake and Table 4 provides its description.





Figure 1: TLS Handshake (Ristic, 2015)

Table 4: Handshake Description (Ristic, 2015)

_	Step Number	Step Description
S	tep 1	The client sends a ClientHello message, which includes the following fields: Protocol Version, Random, Session ID, Cipher Suites, Compression, and Extensions. The protocol version provides the latest TLS protocol version supported by the client. The random field provides randomness during the handshake, which assists in authentication and integrity functions. The session ID is used when session is resumed but blank on an initial connection. The Cipher Suites field provides the list of client supported suites. Compression provides a listing of client supported compression methods. Extensions provide a listing of additional functionality used within the current communication session. The latest list of extensions can be found here:

Step 3	The certificate message is an optional message since not all cipher suites rely on authentication and some forms of authentication do not use certificates. When certificates are used this message normally contains the server's X.509 certificate chain for identification (main certificate and all intermediary certificates) but other forms of identification could be used such as a PGP key. The certificate must support the selected cipher suite. If a server supports multiple cipher suites, it may need multiple certificates.
Step 4	The ServerKeyExchange message is optional. If used, it depends on the selected cipher suite and carries server data used to assist in establishing the key exchange method.
Step 5	The ServerHelloDone message informs the client that the server is finished sending handshake information.
Step 6	The ClientKeyExchange message is dependent on the cipher suite in use and provides the client's information supporting the key exchange process.
Step 7	The ChangeCipherSpec message informs each respective side that the other side is switching to encrypted communication.
Step 8	Provides the notification to the other side that all requirements for a successful handshake have been met. A final verification is performed (via a MAC) ensuring that nothing has compromised the integrity of the entire handshake process.
Step 9	Same as Step 7.
Step 10	Same as Step 8.

4. Decryption Solution

4.1. Solution Selection

There are several open source projects underway that offer the ability to design a decryption solution for specific environments. Some of these projects include the following: Mitmproxy, Sslsniff, SSLSplit, SSLDump, and Fiddler. For the solution proposed by this paper, the following requirements were used as selection criteria: open source software (OSS); actively supported; scalable for home and SMB use; transparently decrypt and inspect egress HTTPS traffic (i.e. Forward Proxy); support for IPv4 & v6; support TLS 1.2; support for the most common TLS Ciphers, and easily configurable. Taking these requirements into consideration, the most sensible solution was to set up a pfSense firewall running Squid Proxy as a Man-In-The-Middle (MITM). With the MITM configuration the Internet Content Adaptation Protocol (ICAP), discussed in RFC 3507 can be used to analyze decrypted HTTPS content. The specific implementation of ICAP used in Squid Proxy is C-ICAP (C-ICAP project, n.d.). Essentially, pfSense intercepts the HTTPS traffic on port 443 and routes it through Squid Proxy, which performs the decryption and analysis of the HTTP content.

4.2. Conceptual Network Architecture

A diagram of the test network architecture is shown in Figure 2. As can be seen, all Internet network traffic is routed directly through the pfSense system. This solution requires the generation of a certificate used by all internal system browser-trusted stores, which is a common approach for a decryption solution. The major drawback to this solution is the inability to dynamically intercept HTTPS traffic on ports other than 443 (some commercial solutions do offer this ability).



Figure 2: Test Network Architecture

4.3. Solution Setup and Configuration

PfSense is a very popular FreeBSD-based firewall and router that offers functional extensibility through additional packages (pfSense, n.d.). The latest version of pfSense can be downloaded from: <u>https://www.pfsense.org/download/</u>. One of the packages that comes integrated with pfSense is Squid Proxy. Squid Proxy is a very popular software proxy that has the ability to decrypt TLS sessions (Squid-cache.org,

n.d.). One key reason Squid Proxy incorporates decryption capability is to analyze HTTPS traffic for malicious content.

When setting up this solution you have to consider the resources needed for both the pfSense firewall and the Squid Proxy. The hardware specifications for a pfSense firewall installation are located here: <u>https://www.pfsense.org/hardware/</u>. There is no "master" specification listing for Squid Proxy because every environment varies significantly. However, Squid Proxy is very CPU and Memory intensive. To this end, there are a few webpages that provide an overview for understanding what HW requirements might be: <u>http://wiki.squid-cache.org/BestOsForSquid; http://wiki.squid-cache.org/SquidFaq/SquidMemory;</u> and <u>http://wiki.squid-cache.org/SquidFaq/SquidProfiling.</u>

The following configuration and setup information assumes that the basic pfSense installation has already been completed. With the pfSense system completely configured for basic functionality, it is now time to setup Squid Proxy for decrypting TLS communication. The first step is to add the Squid Proxy package to pfSense, which is simple with the pfSense package manager. Start by navigating to System > Package Manager.

	System - Interfaces	s → Firewall → Services → VF 	PN ▼ Status	← Di	agnostics 🕶 Gold 👻 Help	
Status / D System Info	Advanced Cert. Manager General Setup High Avail. Sync	00	Interfa	ces		+ 0
Name	Logout	nain	📥 WAN	1	1000baseT <full-duplex></full-duplex>	192.168.72.145
Version	Package Manager Routing Setup Wizard Update	386) ∂ 1309:39 CDT 2016 LEASE-p5 the latest version.	A LAN	•	autoselect	192.168.217.2
Platform	User Manager					
CFU Type	Intel(R) Core(TI	M) i7-4700MQ CPU @ 2.40GHz				
Uptime	00 Hour 22 Min	utes 37 Seconds				
Current date/time	Sun Sep 25 13:	12:56 UTC 2016				
DNS server(s)	127.0.0.192.168					
Last config chang	e Sat Sep 24 12:3	35:35 UTC 2016				
State table size	0% (77/201000	I) Show states				
MBUF Usage	3% (766/26368	;)				

Select the "+ Install" button beside the squid package.

		Siproxd-0.8.2	
snort	3.2.9.1_14	Snort is an open source network intrusion prevention and detection system (IDS/IPS). Combining the benefits of signature, protocol, and anomaly-based inspection. Package Dependencies: S barnyard2-1.13 S snort-2.9.8.3	+ Install
sofiflowd	1.2.1_2	Softflowd is flow-based network traffic analyser capable of Cisco NetFlow data export. Softflowd semi-statefully tracks traffic flows recorded by listening on a network interface or by reading a packet capture file. These flows may bereported via NetFlow to a collecting host or summarised within softflowd itself. Softflowd supports Netflow versions 1, 5 and 9 and is fully IPv6-capable - it can track IPv6 flows and send export datagrams via IPv6. It also supports export to multicast groups, allowing for redundant flow collectors. Package Dependencies: Softflowd-0.9.8_2	+ Install
squd	0.4.23	High performance web proxy cache (3.5 branch). It combines Squid as a proxy server with its capabilities of acting as a HTTP / HTTPS reverse proxy. It includes an Exchange-Web-Access (OWA) Assistant, SSL filtering and antivirus integration via C-ICAP. Package Dependencies: Package Dependencies: \$ squid_radius_auth-1.10 \$ squid-3.5.19_1 \$ squidclamav-6.14 \$ c-icap-modules-0.4.3	+ Install

Select the "Confirm" button.

	System -	V Interfaces 🗸	Firewall 🗸	Services -	VPN 🗸	Status 🗸	Diagnostics 🕶	Gold 🗸	Help 🗸
Syste	m / Packag	je Manager	/ Packa	age Insta	ller				
Installed F	Packages Availa	able Packages	Package Inst	taller					
Confir	mation Requir	ed to install p	ackage p	fSense-pk	g-squid				
🗸 Conf	firm								

The installation will complete.

System - Interfaces - Firewall - Services - VPN - Status - Diagnostics - Gold - Help -	•
System / Package Manager / Package Installer	0
installation successfully completed.	
Installed Packages Available Packages Package Installer	
Package Installation	
===> NOTICE:	*
The <u>c-icap-modules</u> port currently does not have a maintainer. As a result, it is more likely to have unresolved issues, not be up-to-date, or even be removed in the future. To volunteer to maintain this port, please create an issue at:	
https://bugs.freebsd.org/bugzilla	
More information about port maintainership is available at:	

Now that Squid Proxy has been installed, it needs to be configured. Navigate to Services

> Squid Proxy Server.

Sense System - Interfaces - Firewall -	Services - VPN - S	Status 👻 Diagnostics 👻	Gold 🗸 Help 🗸	C
System / Package Manager / Packa	Captive Portal DHCP Relay DHCP Server			0
installation successfully completed.	DHCPv6 Relay DHCPv6 Server & RA			
Installed Packages Available Packages Package Inst	DNS Forwarder DNS Resolver Dynamic DNS			
Package Installation	IGMP Proxy Load Balancer NTP			^
The <u>cricap modules</u> port currently does not he more likely to have unresolved issues, not be the future. To volunteer to maintain this por	PPPoE Server SNMP	a result, it is be removed in issue at:		
https://bugs.freebsd.org/bugzilla	Squid Proxy Server Squid Reverse Proxy			
More information about port maintainership is	UPnP & NAT-PMP			
https://www.freebsd.org/doc/en/articles/contr Message from pfSense-pkg-squid-0.4.23:	Wake-on-LAN	outing.html#maintai	n-port	
Please visit Services - Squid Proxy Server men	nu to configure the	package and enable	the proxy.	

Once within the Services > Squid Proxy Server section, the following Squid General Settings should be selected: Enable Squid Proxy; Keep Settings/Data; and LAN &

Loopback Proxy Interfaces. Nothing else needs to be completed within the General Settings section.

Package / Pro	oxy Server: General Settings / General 🛛 💈 💷 🗐 😧
	she Local Cache Antivirus ACLs Traffic Mgmt Authentication Users Real Time Sync
Squid General Se	ettings
Enable Squid Proxy	Check to enable the Squid proxy. Note: If unchecked, ALL Squid services will be disabled and stopped.
Keep Settings/Data	☑ If enabled, the settings, logs, cache, AV defs and other data will be preserved across package reinstalls. Note: If disabled, all settings and data will be wiped on package uninstall/reinstall/upgrade.
Proxy Interface(s)	LAN WAN loopback
	The interface(s) the proxy server will bind to. Note: Use CTRL + click to select multiple interfaces.
Proxy Port	3128
	This is the port the proxy server will listen on. (Default: 3128)

In the next section, Transparent Proxy Settings, enable Transparent HTTP Proxy and ensure LAN is the selected interface. This will allow for the seamless proxying of traffic on port 443 (i.e. TLS). If Transparent HTTP Proxy is not enabled, each browser would need to be configured to use the proxy and its assigned port.

Transparent Proxy Settings		
Transparent HTTP Proxy	 Enable transparent mode to forward all requests for destination port 80 to the proxy server without any additional configuration being recessary. Note: Transparent mode will filter SSL (port 443) if you enable man-in-the-middle options below. In order to proxy both HTTP and HTTPS protocols without intercepting SSL connections, configure WPAD/PAC options on your DNS/DHCP servers. 	
Transparent Proxy Interface(s)	LAN WAN The interface(s) the proxy server will transparently intercept requests on. Note: Use CTRL + click to select multiple interfaces.	
Bypass Proxy for Private Address Destination	Do not forward traffic to Private Address Space (RFC 1918) destinations. Destinations in Private Address Space (RFC 1918) are passed directly through the firewall, not through the proxy server.	
Bypass Proxy for These Source IPs	Do not forward traffic from these source IPs, CIDR nets, hostnames, or aliases through the proxy server but le: it pass directly through the firewall. (Applies only to transparent mode.) Note: Separate entries by semi-colons (;)	
Bypass Proxy for These Destination IPs	Do not proxy traffic going to these destination IPs, CIDR nets, hostnames, or aliases, but let it pass directly through the firewall. (Applies only to transparent mode.) Note: Separate entries by semi-colons (;)	

Once the general and transparent proxy settings are set, they need to be saved. Note: You may need to save the Local Cache settings before you can save the settings for the General and Transparent Proxy settings. At this point, you should test that your internal system/s web browsers can still access the Internet.

	truncate: Squid will remove all existing X-Forwarded-For header entries and place the client's IP address as the only header entry.
	Default: on
Disable VIA Header	If not set, Squid will include a Via header in requests and repies as required by RFC2616.
URI Whitespace Characters Handling	strip strip: The whitespace characters are stripped out of the URI. This is the behavior recommended by RFC2396. deny: The request is denied. The user receives an "Invalid Request" message. allow: The request is allowed and the URI is not changed. The whitespace characters remain in the URI. encode: The request is allowed and the whitespace characters are encoded according to RFC1738. chop: The request is allowed and the URI is chopped at the first whitespace.
Suppress Squid Version	Suppresses Squidversion string info in HTTP headers and HTML error pages if enabled.
	🖺 Save 🔅 Show Advanced Options

With the basic proxy functionality operational, it is now time to configure the Squid Proxy Man-In-The-Middle functionality. The first step is to setup pfSense as a Certificate Authority (CA). Navigate to System > Cert. Manager.

	vanced		
Package / Cer	rt. Manager	eneral Settings / General 🛛 😋 😤 🔟 🚍	0
Ger	neral Setup		
General Remot Hig	ıh Avail. Sync	Antivirus ACLs Traffic Mgmt Authentication Users Real Time Sync	
Log	gout		
Squid Gener Pac	ckage Manager		
Enable Squid Pr	uting	le the Squid proxy.	
	Marca Materia and	et ne squa proxy. ed, ALL Squid services will be disabled and stopped.	

The Age of Encryption 1

Ensure CA is selected and click the "+ Add" button. Fill in the desired descriptive name and select the desired method. For the test environment, Create an internal Certificate Authority is selected.

CAs Certificates Cer	tificate Revocation	
Create / Edit CA		
Descriptive name	SANS503	
Method	Import an existing Certificate Authority Import an existing Certificate Authority	
Existing Certificate	Create an internal Certificate Authority	
Certificate data		

For the actual CA settings, the defaults are used and the location information is filled in. Once finished click Save.

0

Internal Certifica	ite Authority	
Key length (bits)	2048	
Digest Algorithm	sha256 NOTE: It is recommended to use an algorithm stronger than SHA1 when possible.	
Lifetime (days)	3650	
Country Code	US	
State or Province	Me	
City	Mine	
Organization	STI	
Email Address	admin@mycompany.com	
Common Name	internal-ca	
	🖺 Save	

Now the CA is setup with its root certificate, which will need to be exported and installed in all browser Trusted Root Certificate stores. Select the export CA button to export the *.crt* certificate.

CAs	Certificates	Certificate R	evocation		
Certi	Certificate Authorities				
Name	Internal	Issuer	Certificates	Distinguished Name	Actions
SANS5	03 🗸	self-signed	0	emailAddress=admin@mycompany.com, ST=Me, O=STI, L=Mine, CN=internal-ca, C=US Valid From: Sun, 25 Sep 2016 14:18:32 +0 000 Valid Until: Wed, 23 Sep 2026 14:18:32 +0 000	∦ ‡≪ ⊡
					+ Add

The exported .*crt* certificate needs to be installed in the browser Trusted Root Certification store to prevent browser warnings since MITM proxying is being used. This can be completed manually or automated for larger environments. The manual steps for Windows 7 are described. On the system where you want the certificate installed, download the certificate to the system. Double click on the certificate and select Install Certificate.

Certificate	
General Details Certification Path	
Certificate Information	
This CA Root certificate is not trusted. To enable trust, install this certificate in the Trusted Root Certification Authorities store.	. drife
	and the second s
Issued to: internal-ca	100
Issued by: internal-ca	2
Valid from 9/ 25/ 2016 to 9/ 23/ 2026	
Install Certificate Issuer Statement Learn more about <u>certificates</u>	
ОК	

Click Next.



Select "Trusted Root Certification Authorities".

Certificate Imp	ort Wizard	<u> </u>
Certificate S Certifica	tore ate stores are system areas where certificates are kept.	
Window the cert	s can automatically select a certificate store, or you can specify a location fo ificate.	r
•	Select the certificate store you want to use.	
	Personal Trusted Root Certification Authorities Enterprise Trust Intermediate Certification Authorities Trusted Publishers Intrusted Certificates Intrusted Certificates	
Learn more	Cancel	
	< Back Next > Car	ncel

Click Finish.

Certificate Import Wizard	×
	Completing the Certificate Import Wizard
	The certificate will be imported after you click Finish.
	You have specified the following settings:
	Certificate Store Selected by User Trusted Root Certifica Content Certificate
	۲ III ۲
	< Back Finish Cancel

Select Yes.

Security Warning	
 You are about to install a certificate from a certification authority (CA) claiming to represent: internal-ca Windows cannot validate that the certificate is actually from "internal-ca". You should confirm its origin by contacting "internal-ca". The following number will assist you in this process: Thumbprint (sha1): 724AC892 70EF0B44 03B7D80E C766462F 9D54C934 Warning:	ji i i c
Yes No	

Go into Internet Explorer and verify the certificate in the Trusted Root Certification Authorities store.

	Certificates Intended purpose: <a>All>	X
	Intermediate Certification Authorities Trusted Ro	oot Certification Authorities Trusted Publ
	Issued To Issued By	Expiratio Friendly Name
	DigiCert High Assur DigiCert High Assura DigiCert High Assura Equifax Secure Cer Equifax Secure Certi GeoTrust Global CA GeoTrust Global CA GEOTE CyberTrust Glo GTE CyberTrust Global	fi 8/22/2018 GeoTrust 5/21/2022 GeoTrust Global CA
10	A fire cycle most dot one cycle most dot A fire cycle most	9/23/2026 <none> od 12/31/1999 Microsoft Authe prity 12/31/2020 Microsoft Root A</none>
o ¹ 2	Import Export Remove	
	Certificate intended purposes	View
	Learn more about <u>certificates</u>	Close

With the root certificate installed on the proper internal systems, Squid Proxy MITM functionality can be enabled. Navigate to Services > Squid Proxy Server and scroll down to "SSL Man In the Middle Filtering". Once there, enable HTTPS/SSL Interception; select the LAN SSL Intercept Interface; choose the proper CA (SEC503 for the test environment). Click the Save button at the bottom of the page.

HTTPS/SSL	I Enable SSL filtering.
Interception	M Enable SSL Intering.
S&L Intercept Interface(s)	LAN WAN
	The interface(s) the proxy server will intercept SSL requests on. Note: Use CTRL + click to select multiple interfaces.
SSL Proxy port	
	This is the port the proxy server will listen on to intercept SSL while using transparent proxy. (Default: 3129)
CA	SANS503
	Select Certificate Authority to use when SSL interception is enabled.
	To create a CA on pfSense, gc to System -> Cert Manager . Install the CA certificate as a Trusted Root CA on each computer you want to filter SSL on to avoid SSL error on each connection.
SSL Certificate	
amon Children	This is the number of SSL certificate deamon children to start. If Squid is used in busy environments, this may need to be increased.

Using Internet Explorer, navigate to an encrypted webpage and look at the certificate being used. As you can see below, the certificate is actually for our internal pfSense CA.

		5
G https://www.google.com/?gws_rd=ssl	 	
View Favorites Tools Help		
Search Images Maps Play Yo.	cate	dvanced set
Let		avanced sei anguige too
	© 2016 - Privacy - Terms	

The Age of Encryption 2

With the TLS decryption enabled and working, the AV scanning can be enabled. Navigate to Services > Squid Proxy Server > Antivirus. Select the Enable checkbox. For the test environment client forward options, the option was selected to not send any data to Clam AV. Make sure to change the ClamAV Database update period to the desired setting – every hour was selected for the test environment. At this point, it is worth noting that the ClamAV default signatures do not have the best reputation for detections. As such, it is recommended to augment the default signatures with the signatures from sansecurity.com (<u>http://sanesecurity.com/usage/signatures</u>). The *freshclam.conf* file will need updated with the locations for the desired additional signatures. This can be completed by adding the URL locations to the following *freshclam.conf* directive: *DatabaseCustomURL*. In order to update these signatures, you will have to load the advanced configuration.

6

Enable	Enable Squid antivirus check using ClamAV.	
Client Forward	Do not send client info	
Options	Select what client infoto forward to ClamAV.	
Enable Manual	enabled	
Configuration	When enabled, the options below no longer have any effect.	
	You must edit the configuration files directly in the 'Advanced Features'.	
	Warning: Only enable this if you know what are you doing.	
	Load Advanced After enabling manual configuration, click this once to load default configuration files.	
Redirect URL		
	When a virus is found then redirect the user to this URL.	
	Leave empty to use the default Squid/pfSense WebGUI URL.	
	Example: http://proxy.example.com/blocked.html	
Google Safe	Enables Google Safe Browsing support.	
Browsing	Google Safe Browsing database includes information about websites that may be phishing sites or possible sources of malware.	
	Note: This option consumes significant amount of RAM.	

The AV definition update status can be reviewed by navigating to Services > Squid Proxy Server > Real Time, and scroll down to the "freshclam Table" section.

freshclam Table
ClamAV - freshclam Logs
Message
byteccde.cvd is up to date (version: 283, sigs: 53, f-level: 63, builder: neo)
daily.cvd is up to date (version: 22256, sigs: 630995, f-level: 63, builder: neo)
main.cvd is up to date (version: 57, sigs: 4218790, f-level: 60, builder: amishhammer)
ClamAV update process started at Mon Sep 2620:41:41 2016
byteccde.cvd is up to date (version: 283, sigs: 53, f-level: 63, builder: neo)
daily.cr/d is up to date (version: 22256, sigs: 630995, f-level: 63, builder: neo)
main.cvd is up to date (version: 57, sigs: 4218790, f-level: 60, builder: amishhammer)
ClamAV update process started at Mon Sep 2620:41:34 2016

With the AV functionality setup, it is now time to test that it is working as planned. We will use the standard EICAR test signature. These are offered via both HTTP and HTTPS connections (<u>www.eicar.org/85-0-Download.html</u>). Below you can see the results when the HTTPS MITM functionality is enabled and the results when the HTTPS MITM functionality is enabled and the EICAR file is not blocked when MITM functionality is disabled. It is worth noting that the EICAR HTTPS site certificate is self-signed so you may get prompted with browser warnings.

Additionally, there is a setting in Squid Proxy under Services > Squid Proxy Server > SSL Man In the Middle Filtering > Remote Cert Checks where you will need to select "Accept remote server certificate with errors". If this option is not checked within Squid Proxy, you will receive a self-signed certificate error in Squid Proxy.

ttp://pfsense.localdomain/squid_clwarn.ph	nh:nm	▼ 🖾 ▼ 🖃 🖶 ▼ Page ▼ Safety ▼ Tools ▼
SquidClamav 6.10: Vir	rus detected!	
The requested URL https://secure Virus name: Eicar-Test-Signature	e.eicar.org/eicar.com.txt contains a virus e	
This file cannot be downloaded.		
Origin: 192.168.217.15 / -		
et https://secure.eicar.org/eicar.co	rom.bt 🔹 🛃	47 X D Bing
	om.bt 🔹 🗟	↔ × Þ Bing
View Favorites Tools Help		★ Bing
View Favorites Tools Help tes 🏠 📴 Suggested Sites 🔻 🖉 V	Web Slice Gallery -	 47 × ▶ Bing 10 × □ ♣ ▼ Page▼ Safety ▼
View Favorites Tools Help	Web Slice Gallery -	🚵 🔻 🔊 👻 🖃 🖶 🔻 Page 🕶 Safety 🕶
View Favorites Tools Help es 🎼 🏂 Suggested Sites 💌 🔊 V	Web Slice Gallery ▼ Virus' in the single zip ÅRCHIVEe and may be even in the double zip allow you any access to the file(s) anymore. You might not even be	
View Favorites Tools Help es 🏤 🕨 Suggested Sites 👻 🖉 V ctirg	Web Slice Gallery ▼ 'virus' in the single zip ÅRCHIVEe and may be even in the double zip allow you any access to the file(s) anymore. You might not even be caused by the scanner which puts the file into quarantaine. The tes infected file. Read the user's manual of your AV scanner what to do	ARCHIVEe. Once detected the scanner might allowed by the scanner to delete these files. Th tifle will be treated just like any other real viru
View Favorites Tools Help es 🐅 🕨 Suggested Sites 👻 🖉 V ctirg JSTWORTHINESS IN IT	Web Slice Gallery Virus' in the single zip ARCHIVEe and may be even in the double zip allow you any access to the file(s) anymore. You might not even be caused by the scanner which puts the file into quarantaine. The tes	ARCHIVEe. Once detected the scanner might allowed by the scanner to delete these files. The tifle will be treated just like any other real virus or contact the vendor/manufacturer of your A
View Favorites Tools Help es A Suggested Sites Vectirg USTWORTHINESS IN IT URITY PRODUCTS	Web Slice Gallery ▼ 'virus' in the single zip ÅRCHIVEe and may be even in the double zip allow you any access to the file(s) anymore. You might not even be caused by the scanner which puts the file into quarantaine. The tes infected file. Read the user's manual of your AV scanner what to do	ARCHIVEe. Once detected the scanner might allowed by the scanner to delete these files. Th tifle will be treated just like any other real viru
View Favorites Tools Help es Suggested Sites V (2) V ttirg ISTWORTHINESS IN IT URITY PRODUCTS TH EICAR ANNUAL	Web Slice Gallery ▼ 'virus' in the single zip ÅRCHIVEe and may be even in the double zip allow you any access to the file[s] anymore. You might not even be caused by the scanner which puts the file into quarantaine. The tes infected file. Read the user's manual of your AV scanner what to do scanner. File Download	ARCHIVEe. Once detected the scanner might allowed by the scanner to delete these files. Th t file will be treated just like any other real viru or contact the vendor/manufacturer of your <i>P</i> mbination with these files cause any
View Favorites Tools Help es Suggested Sites V (2) V ctirg JSTWORTHINESS IN IT URITY PRODUCTS TH EICAR ANNUAL DNFERENCE 2016	Web Slice Gallery Virus' in the single zip ARCHIVEe and may be even in the double zip allow you any access to the file(s) anymore. You might not even be caused by the scanner which puts the file into quarantaine. The tes infected file. Read the user's manual of your AV scanner what to do scanner.	
View Favorites Tools Help es Suggested Sites V (2) V ctirg JSTWORTHINESS IN IT URITY PRODUCTS ITH EICAR ANNUAL DNFERENCE 2016 10.2016-18.10.2016	Web Slice Gallery ▼ 'virus' in the single zip ÅRCHIVEe and may be even in the double zip allow you any access to the file[s] anymore. You might not even be caused by the scanner which puts the file into quarantaine. The tes infected file. Read the user's manual of your AV scanner what to do scanner. File Download	ARCHIVEs. Once detected the scanner might allowed by the scanner to delete these files. Th t file will be treated just like any other real viru o or contact the vendor/manufacturer of your A mbination with these files cause any VN RISK. Download these files only if
View Favorites Tools Help es Suggested Sites V (2) V ctirg USTWORTHINESS IN IT URITY PRODUCTS ITH EICAR ANNUAL DNFERENCE 2016 10.2016-18.10.2016 conference brings together erts from industry, government,	Web Slice Gallery Virus' in the single zip ARCHIVEe and may be even in the double zip allow you any access to the file(s) anymore. You might not even be caused by the scanner which puts the file into quarantaine. The tes infected file. Read the user's manual of your AV scanner what to do scanner. File Download Do you want to open or save this file? Name: eicar.com.bt Type: Text Document, 68 bytes	
View Favorites Tools Help es Suggested Sites V (2) V ctirg JSTWORTHINESS IN IT SURITY PRODUCTS ITH EICAR ANNUAL DNFERENCE 2016 10.2016-18.10.2016 e conference brings together erts from industry, government, tary, law enforcement	Web Slice Gallery Virus' in the single zip ARCHIVEe and may be even in the double zip allow you any access to the file(s) anymore. You might not even be caused by the scanner which puts the file into quarantaine. The tes infected file. Read the user's manual of your AV scanner what to do scanner. File Download Do you want to open or save this file? Name: eicar.com.txt	
View Favorites Tools Help es Suggested Sites V (2) V ctirg JSTWORTHINESS IN IT URITY PRODUCTS TH EICAR ANNUAL DNFERENCE 2016 10.2016-18.10.2016 conference brings together erts from industry, government, tary, law enforcement	Web Slice Gallery ▼ 'virus' in the single zip ÅRCHIVEe and may be even in the double zip allow you any access to the file(s) anymore. You might not even be caused by the scanner which puts the file into quarantaine. The tes infected file. Read the user's manual of your AV scanner what to do scanner. File Download Do you want to open or save this file? Name: eicar.com.txt Type: Text Document, 68 bytes From: secure.eicar.org	ARCHIVEe. Once detected the scanner might allowed by the scanner to delete these files. Th t file will be treated just like any other real viru or contact the vendor/manufacturer of your <i>P</i> mbination with these files cause any VN RISK. Download these files cause any WN
View Favorites Tools Help es Suggested Sites V V ctirg USTWORTHINESS IN IT CURITY PRODUCTS THE LICAR ANNUAL DNFERENCE 2016 10.2016-18.10.2016 e: conference brings together werts from industry, government, tary, law enforcement ead more	Web Slice Gallery Virus' in the single zip ARCHIVEe and may be even in the double zip allow you any access to the file(s) anymore. You might not even be caused by the scanner which puts the file into quarantaine. The tes infected file. Read the user's manual of your AV scanner what to do scanner. File Download Do you want to open or save this file? Name: eicar.com.bt Type: Text Document, 68 bytes	ARCHIVEe. Once detected the scanner might allowed by the scanner to delete these files. Th t file will be treated just like any other real viru or contact the vendor/manufacturer of your <i>P</i> mbination with these files cause any VN RISK. Download these files cause any WN
View Favorites Tools Help es Suggested Sites V V ctirg USTWORTHINESS IN IT CURITY PRODUCTS ITH EICAR ANNUAL DNFERNCE 2016 10.2016-18.10.2016 toofference brings together erts from industry, government, tary, law enforcement ead more	Web Slice Gallery Virus' in the single zip ÅRCHIVEe and may be even in the double zip allow you any access to the file(s) anymore. You might not even be caused by the scanner which puts the file into quarantaine. The tes infected file. Read the user's manual of your AV scanner what to do scanner. File Download Do you want to open or save this file? Name: eicar.com.txt Type: Text Document, 68 bytes From: secure.eicar.org Qpen Save Cancel	Page Safety ACCHIVE. Once detected the scanner might allowed by the scanner to delete these files. Th t file will be treated just like any other real virus or contact the vendor/manufacturer of your A mbination with these files cause any WN RISK. Download these files only if will not provide any help to remove the AV scanner to seek such help. eicarcom2.zp 308 Bytes
View Favorites Tools Help es Suggested Sites V V ctirg USTWORTHINESS IN IT CURITY PRODUCTS ITH EICAR ANNUAL DNFERENCE 2016 10.2016-18.10.2016 e: conference brings together erts from industry, government, tary, law enforcement ead more	Web Slice Gallery Virus' in the single zip ARCHIVEe and may be even in the double zip allow you any access to the file(s) anymore. You might not even be caused by the scanner which puts the file into quarantaine. The tes infected file. Read the user's manual of your AV scanner what to do scanner. File Download File Download Do you want to open or save this file? Name: eicar.com.txt Type: Text Document, 68 bytes From: secure.eicar.org While files from the Internet can be useful, somefiles can potent ham your computer. If you do not trust the source, do not open	Page Safety ARCHIVEE. Once detected the scanner might allowed by the scanner to delete these files. Th tifle will be treated just like any other real virus or contact the vendor/manufacturer of your A mbination with these files cause any VN RISK. Download these files only if will not provide any help to remove the AV scanner to seek such help. eicarcom2.zp 308 Bytes
View Favorites Tools Help tes 🏠 📴 Suggested Sites 🔻 🖉 V	Web Slice Gallery Virus' in the single zip ÅRCHIVEe and may be even in the double zip allow you any access to the file[s] anymore. You might not even be caused by the scanner which puts the file into quarantaine. The tes infected file. Read the user's manual of your AV scanner what to do scanner. File Download Do you want to open or save this file? Name: eicar.com.txt Type: Text Document, 68 bytes From: secure.eicar.org Qpen Save Cancel While files from the Internet can be useful, somefiles can potent	Page Safety Page Safety ARCHIVEe. Once detected the scanner might allowed by the scanner to delete these files. Tr tile will be treated just like any other real viru or contact the vendor/manufacturer of your A mbination with these files cause any VN RISK. Download these files only if will not provide any help to remove the AV scanner to seek such help. eicarcom2.zip 308 Bytes tially
View Favorites Tools Help res Suggested Sites V V cctirg USTWORTHINESS IN IT CURITY PRODUCTS ITH EICAR ANNUAL DNFERENCE 2016 10.2016-18.10.2016 e conference brings together herts from industry, government, itary, law enforcement ead more	Web Slice Gallery Virus' in the single zip ARCHIVEe and may be even in the double zip allow you any access to the file(s) anymore. You might not even be caused by the scanner which puts the file into quarantaine. The tes infected file. Read the user's manual of your AV scanner what to do scanner. File Download File Download Do you want to open or save this file? Name: eicar.com.txt Type: Text Document, 68 bytes From: secure.eicar.org While files from the Internet can be useful, somefiles can potent ham your computer. If you do not trust the source, do not open	Page Safety ARCHIVEE. Once detected the scanner might allowed by the scanner to delete these files. Th tifle will be treated just like any other real virus or contact the vendor/manufacturer of your A mbination with these files cause any VN RISK. Download these files only if will not provide any help to remove the AV scanner to seek such help. eicarcom2.zp 308 Bytes

Another way to assess the decryption functionality between the Squid Proxy Service and the C-ICAP extension is to leverage *tcpdump* on the pfSense system. Below *tcpdump* is

being used to find the string "123456," which is found in the password field of a TLS encrypted login page:

Command used: tcpdump -i lo0 -Xnvvv dst port 1344 | grep <insert text>

This command dumps the traffic on port 1344 of the local interface (used by the C-ICAP extension). It prints the output in HEX & ASCII, does not do name resolution and produces verbose output while grepping for a specified string: "http://www.tcpdump.org/tcpdump_man.html."



At this point, the solution is both decrypting TLS traffic and inspecting it for potential viruses. There are obviously several other features and configuration options available in both pfSense and Squid Proxy. However, this is one possible solution that could be leveraged to address encrypted communication concerns.

5. Conclusion

As the paper has shown, the existing trend of TLS usage is increasing. This trend is being reinforced because of significant public concern for privacy. The inevitable result will be a very large growth in TLS communication. This "Age of Encryption" will have an obvious impact on cybersecurity. To this end, the ability for security analysts to do their jobs is challenged because they lack the visibility to comprehensively detect malicious activity on their networks. The bottom line is the path to more encryption has opened a major avenue for malicious activity.

To combat this, organizations can turn to decrypting both inbound and outbound Internet communications. There are certainly risks and rewards when organizations are deciding on a decryption solution. The overarching risk is "seeing" sensitive data. The

sensitive data could be corporate data, personal info, or any other data that is of a sensitive nature. The overarching benefit is that decryption provides insight into the embedded encrypted data of the communication. This content could be a file, URL, commands, or any other information that could be associated with malicious activity.

Due to the sensitive nature of an organization's decision to decrypt Internet communication, it is import that businesses involve legal departments in the decryption strategy decision making process. Further, organizations need to comprehensively understand the data on their networks. In many cases the decryption decision making process will result in a balancing act between policy and security. This balancing act will require that organizations develop an appropriate strategy on traffic inspection within the confines of what is legally authorized (Boss, 2016, Butler, 2013, Casey, 2013, & Dormann, 2015).

Once organizations have a comprehensive decryption policy in place and a firm understanding of their network traffic, they can move forward with deciding on a solution. There are several open source decryption alternatives available, which allows some flexibility when determining what is needed for a particular situation. If an open source solution is not a workable option, there are also several commercial options available. Throughout the decision-making process, it is important that the technical details of the TLS communication process are considered to ensure the final solution is successful.

References

A10 Networks. (2015). TOP 6 DANGERS OF NOT INSPECTING YOUR SSL TRAFFIC. Retrieved from <u>https://www.a10networks.com/sites/default/files/A10-</u> GR-70198-EN.pdf

- Bloch, K. (2015, January 22). Top 10 ICT Trends in 2015: are you ready for the digital transformation?. Retrieved from <u>http://gblogs.cisco.com/asiapacific/top-10-ict-t</u> rends-in-2015-are-you-ready-for-digital-transformation/
- Bluecoat. (2016). Certificate Pinning. Retrieved from <u>https://www.bluecoat.com/ko/</u> documents/download/7ff09c94-7b88-4319-a766-191c9dedde22&usg=afqjcnhmc 8cwvi7rxfyu5gho8hfw1sic9a&bvm=bv.137132246,d.ewe&cad=rja
- Boss, S. (2016, February 19). When your Employer Can See Your Banking Information: Decrypting SSL | AlienVault. Retrieved from <u>https://www.alienvault.com/blogs/</u> security-essentials/when-your-employer-can-see-your-banking-informationdecrypting-ssl
- Butler, M. (2013, November). Finding Hidden Threats by Decrypting SSL. Retrieved from <u>https://www.sans.org/reading-room/whitepapers/analyst/finding-hidden-</u> threats-decrypting-ssl-34840

Casey, B. (2013, April). The pros and cons of SSL decryption for enterprise network monitoring. Retrieved from <u>http://searchsecurity.techtarget.com/answer/The-pros-</u> and-cons-of-SSL-decryption-for-enterprise-network-monitoring?src=itke%20stub

Castro, D., & McQuinn, A. (2016, March). Unlocking Encryption: Information Security and the Rule of Law. Retrieved from <u>http://www2.itif.org/2016-unlocking-</u> encryption.pdf

Chokhani, S., McKay, K., Polk, T. (2014). Guidelines for the Selection, Configuration, and Use of Transport Layer Security (TLS) Implementations. Retrieved from http://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/SpecialPublications/NIST.SP.800-52r1.pdf

C-ICAP Project. (n.d.). About. The C-ICAP Project. Retrieved from <u>http://c-</u> icap.sourceforge.net/about.html

CIO.gov. (n.d.). Introduction to HTTPS. Retrieved from https://https.cio.gov/faq/

CIO.gov. (n.d.a). The HTTPS-Only Standard. Retrieved from https://https.cio.gov/

- Clinton, W. J. (1996, November 15). Executive Order 13026. Retrieved from https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/WCPD-1996-11-18/pdf/WCPD-1996-11-18-Pg2399.pdf
- Davis, J. S. (2016, August 2). C&C using SSL to hide malware increased by 200 times report. Retrieved from <u>http://www.scmagazine.com/cc-using-ssl-to-hide-malware-</u>increased-by-200-times--report/article/513622/
- Digitaltrends.com. (2016, April 3). Apple vs. the FBI: A Complete Timeline of Events | Digital Trends. Retrieved from <u>http://www.digitaltrends.com/mobile/apple-</u> encryption-court-order-news/
- Djekic, M. (2013, November 25). A Scytale Cryptography of the Ancient Sparta. Retrieved from <u>http://www.australianscience.com.au/technology/a-scytale-</u> <u>cryptography-of-the-ancient-sparta/</u>

Dormann, W. (2015, March 13). The Risks of SSL Inspection. Retrieved from https://insights.sei.cmu.edu/cert/2015/03/the-risks-of-ssl-inspection.html Ekersley, P. (2014, November 18). Launching in 2015: A Certificate Authority to

Encrypt the Entire Web | Electronic Frontier Foundation. Retrieved from

https://www.eff.org/deeplinks/2014/11/certificate-authority-encrypt-entire-web

Evsslcertificate.com. (n.d.). History of SSL Certificate | When was SSL Certificate Introduced. Retrieved from https://www.evsslcertificate.com/ssl/ssl-history.html

Exec. Order No. 13026, 3 C.F.R. 2399 (1996), *reprinted as amended in* 6 U.S.C. §121 at 17 (2002).

- Fraunhofer FKIE. (2016, January). White Paper | Encrypted Traffic Management. Retrieved from <u>http://dc.bluecoat.com/encrypted-traffic-management-fraunhofer-</u> institute
- Google.com. (n.d.). Encryption is under attack. Take Action Google. Retrieved from https://www.google.com/takeaction/issue/encryption/
- Hagemann, R., & Hampson, J. (2015, November 9). Encryption, Trust, and the Online
 Economy | An Assessment of the Economic Benefits Associated With Encryption.
 Retrieved from https://niskanencenter.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/11/
 RESEARCH-PAPER_EncryptionEconomicBenefits.pdf
- HISTORY.com. (n.d.). The Invention of the Internet Inventions. Retrieved from http://www.history.com/topics/inventions/invention-of-the-internet

Hwang, J. (2012, June 6). The Secure Sockets Layer and Transport Layer Security. Retrieved from http://www.ibm.com/developerworks/library/ws-ssl-security/

- IETF HTTP Working Group (WG). (n.d.). HTTP Documentation. Retrieved from http://httpwg.org/specs/
- IETF HTTP Working Group (WG). (n.d.a). HTTP/2 Frequently Asked Questions. Retrieved from https://http2.github.io/faq/

3

- IETF HTTP Working Group (WG). (n.d.b). Hypertext Transfer Protocol Version 2 (HTTP/2). Retrieved from http://http2.github.io/http2-spec/#TLSUsage
- IETF TLS Working Group (WG). (n.d.) Transport Layer Security (TLS). Retrieved https://datatracker.ietf.org/wg/tls/charter/
- IETF. (1996). Hypertext Transfer Protocol -- HTTP/1.0. Retrieved from https://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1945.txt
- IETF. (1999). Hypertext Transfer Protocol -- HTTP/1.1. Retrieved from https://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2616.txt
- IETF. (1999a). The TLS Protocol Version 1.0. Retrieved from https://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2246.txt
- IETF. (1999b). HTTP Authentication: Basic and Digest Access Authenticaton. Retrieved from https://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2617.txt
- IETF. (2000). HTTP Over TLS. Retrieved from https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2818
- IETF. (2006). The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.1. Retrieved from https://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4346.txt
- IETF. (2008). The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.2. Retrieved from https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5246
- IETF. (2011). The Secure Sockets Layer (SSL) Protocol Version 3.0. Retrieved from https://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc6101.txt
- IETF. (2014). Pervasive Monitoring is an Attack. Retrieved from https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7258
- IETF. (2015). Hypertext Transfer Protocol Version 2 (HTTP/2). Retrieved from

https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7540

- IETF. (2015a). Deprecating Secure Sockets Layer Version 3.0. Retrieved from https://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc7568.txt
- IETF. (2015b). Recommendations for Secure Use of Transport Layer Security (TLS) and Datagram Transport Layer Security (DTLS) Retrieved from <u>https://tools</u>. ietf.org/html/rfc7525
- IETF. (2016). The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.3 draft-ietf-tlstls13-15. Retrieved from https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-ietf-tls-tls13-15
- Internet Hall of Fame. (n.d.). Timeline. Retrieved from <u>http://www.internethalloffame</u>.org/internet-history/timeline
- Karsten, J., & West, D. M. (2016, April 16). A brief history of U.S. encryption policy | Brookings Institution. Retrieved from <u>https://www.brookings.edu/blog/techtank</u> /2016/04/19/a-brief-history-of-u-s-encryption-policy/
- Kear, S. (2016, May 4). How to Set Up an HTTP Anti-Virus Proxy Using pfSense and HVAP. Retrieved from <u>https://turbofuture.com/internet/How-to-Set-Up-an-HTTP-Anti-Virus-Proxy-Using-pfSense-and-HAVP</u>
- Kear, S. (2016, May 23). Intercepting HTTPS Traffic Using the Squid Proxy Service in pfSense. Retrieved from <u>https://turbofuture.com/internet/Intercepting-HTTPS-</u> Traffic-Using-the-Squid-Proxy-in-pfSense

Krebs, B. (2016). KrebsonSecurity | In-depth security news and investigation. Retrieved from http://krebsonsecurity.com/

Letsencrypt.org. (n.d.). About - Let's Encrypt - Free SSL/TLS Certificates. Retrieved from https://letsencrypt.org/about/

Lewis, J. A. (2014, January 10). 2014 as the Year of Encryption: A (Very) Brief History

5

of Encryption Policy | Center for Strategic and International Studies. Retrieved from https://www.csis.org/analysis/2014-year-encryption-very-brief-historyencryption-policy

- Mayfield, G. (2015, April 6). The Risks and Rewards of SSL Encryption | Blue Coat. Retrieved from https://www.bluecoat.com/company-blog/2015-04-06/risks-andrewards-ssl-encryption
- Marlinspike, M. (n.d.). Software >> sslstrip. Retrieved from https://moxie.org/software /sslstrip/
- Netcraft.com. (n.d.). Netcraft | SSL Survey. Retrieved from https://www.netcraft.com/ internet-data-mining/ssl-survey/
- OWASP.org. (2016, August 10). HTTP Strict Transport Security Cheat Sheet OWASP. Retrieved from https://www.owasp.org/index.php/HTTP Strict Transport Security Cheat Sheet
- Pew Research Center. (n.d.). Web History Timeline. Retrieved from <u>http://www.pew</u> internet.org/2014/03/11/world-wide-web-timeline/
- pfSense. (n.d.). pfSense Overview. Retrieved from https://www.pfsense.org/aboutpfsense/

Ristic, I. (2015). Bulletproof SSL and TLS. London: Feisty Duck.

Rivest, R. L. (2011, February 8). The Growth of Cryptography. Retrieved from https://people.csail.mit.edu/rivest/pubs/Riv11a.slides.pdf

Sandvine.com. (2015, May 8). Global Internet Phenomena Spotlight | Encrypted Internet

Traffic. Retrieved from https://www.sandvine.com/downloads/general/global-

internet-phenomena/2015/encrypted-internet-traffic.pdf

Segura, J. (2015, August 13). SSL Malvertising Campaign Continues (UPDATED) | Malwarebytes Labs. Retrieved from <u>https://blog.malwarebytes.com/threat-analysis/2015/08/ssl-malvertising-campaign-continues/</u>

Squid-cache.org (n.d.) Introduction. Retrieved from http://www.squid-cache.org/Intro/

Superconfigure.wordpress.com. (2013, January 29). Pen-testing HSTS (Http Strict Transport Security) Sites with Burp. Retrieved from <u>https://superconfigure</u> .wordpress.com/2013/01/29/pen-testing-hsts-http-strict-transport-security-siteswith-burp/

Tan, J. (2010, May 19). Decrypting SSL 'protected' web traffic for further analysis [PoC]. Retrieved <u>https://jez4christ.com/view/decrypting-ssl-protected-web-</u> traffic-for-further-analysis-poc/

Vincent, B. (2016, July 29). Google is turning on HSTS encryption on its domain. Retrieved from https://www.engadget.com/2016/07/29/google-hsts-encryption/

Wireshark.org. (n.d.). SSL - The Wireshark Wiki. Retrieved September 4, 2016, from https://wiki.wireshark.org/SSL

WolfSSL.com. (2010, December 14). A Comparison of TLS 1.1 and TLS 1.2. Retrieved from <u>https://www.wolfssl.com/wolfSSL/Blog/Entries/2010/12/14_A_</u>

Comparison_of_TLS_1.1_and_TLS_1.2.html

World Wide Web Consortium (W3C) (n.d.). Index of History. Retrieved from https://www.w3.org/History/

World Wide Web Consortium (W3C) (2004). Change History for HTTP. Retrieved from https://www.w3.org/Protocols/History.html

World Wide Web Foundation. (n.d.). History of the Web. Retrieved from http://web

foundation.org/about/vision/history-of-the-web/

۸ Inere . .et/ineline