



Global Information Assurance Certification Paper

Copyright SANS Institute
Author Retains Full Rights

This paper is taken from the GIAC directory of certified professionals. Reposting is not permitted without express written permission.

Interested in learning more?

Check out the list of upcoming events offering
"Intrusion Detection In-Depth (Security 503)"
at <http://www.giac.org/registration/gcia>

IDIC Practical for Shane Boothe

*** Northcutt, there are some really nice reads in this practical don't miss detect 2! I hadn't seen that before so the bonus gets added. The research is good on attacks and source addresses. Good use of an analysis process. Bravo! 95 *

Note: Detects are from either systems outside our corporate firewall or from systems connected to a cable modem (cable modem land is kinda scary!!!). In all cases a host-based firewall was used. Most addresses have been changed to protect the guilty/innocent. Packet dumps were read via Ethereal (<http://ethereal.zing.org>), an open source network protocol analyzer. I think our next step will be to implement a SHADOW system at work!

Detect #1

| Time | Source | Destination | Protocol | Info |
|---------------|------------|----------------|---------------|---|
| 11:16:43.6230 | 219.80.x.x | cablemodem.net | TCP 3516 > 21 | [SYN] Seq=14937903 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 11:16:59.8209 | 219.80.x.x | cablemodem.net | TCP 3517 > 21 | [SYN] Seq=14937916 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 11:16:59.8209 | 219.80.x.x | cablemodem.net | TCP 3518 > 21 | [SYN] Seq=14937928 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 11:16:59.8240 | 219.80.x.x | cablemodem.net | TCP 3519 > 21 | [SYN] Seq=14937939 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 11:16:59.8240 | 219.80.x.x | cablemodem.net | TCP 3516 > 21 | [SYN] Seq=14937903 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 11:16:59.8240 | 219.80.x.x | cablemodem.net | TCP 3520 > 21 | [SYN] Seq=14937949 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 11:16:59.8240 | 219.80.x.x | cablemodem.net | TCP 3517 > 21 | [SYN] Seq=14937916 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 11:16:59.8300 | 219.80.x.x | cablemodem.net | TCP 3521 > 21 | [SYN] Seq=14937958 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 11:16:59.8300 | 219.80.x.x | cablemodem.net | TCP 3518 > 21 | [SYN] Seq=14937928 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 11:16:59.8300 | 219.80.x.x | cablemodem.net | TCP 3522 > 21 | [SYN] Seq=14937966 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 11:16:59.8300 | 219.80.x.x | cablemodem.net | TCP 3519 > 21 | [SYN] Seq=14937939 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 11:16:59.8339 | 219.80.x.x | cablemodem.net | TCP 3523 > 21 | [SYN] Seq=14937973 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 11:16:59.8339 | 219.80.x.x | cablemodem.net | TCP 3520 > 21 | [SYN] Seq=14937949 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 11:16:59.8339 | 219.80.x.x | cablemodem.net | TCP 3524 > 21 | [SYN] Seq=14937980 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 11:16:59.8339 | 219.80.x.x | cablemodem.net | TCP 3521 > 21 | [SYN] Seq=14937958 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 11:16:59.8389 | 219.80.x.x | cablemodem.net | TCP 3525 > 21 | [SYN] Seq=14937986 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 11:16:59.8389 | 219.80.x.x | cablemodem.net | TCP 3522 > 21 | [SYN] Seq=14937966 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 11:16:59.8389 | 219.80.x.x | cablemodem.net | TCP 3526 > 21 | [SYN] Seq=14937991 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 11:16:59.8389 | 219.80.x.x | cablemodem.net | TCP 3523 > 21 | [SYN] Seq=14937973 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 11:16:59.8439 | 219.80.x.x | cablemodem.net | TCP 3527 > 21 | [SYN] Seq=14937995 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 11:16:59.8439 | 219.80.x.x | cablemodem.net | TCP 3516 > 21 | [SYN] Seq=14937903 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 11:16:59.8439 | 219.80.x.x | cablemodem.net | TCP 3524 > 21 | [SYN] Seq=14937980 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 11:16:59.8439 | 219.80.x.x | cablemodem.net | TCP 3528 > 21 | [SYN] Seq=14937998 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 11:16:59.8489 | 219.80.x.x | cablemodem.net | TCP 3517 > 21 | [SYN] Seq=14937916 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 11:16:59.8489 | 219.80.x.x | cablemodem.net | TCP 3525 > 21 | [SYN] Seq=14937986 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |

History

Taken from a computer attached to a cable modem. I had not seen any previous activity from the source address. The attack lasted for several minutes.

Active Targeting?

Yes.

IDIC Practical for Shane Boothe

| | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Criticality | 2 | Home computer without any critical data. |
| Lethality | 4 | DoS attack. |
| System Countermeasures | 4 | OS is up to date. |
| Network Countermeasures | 4 | Host-based firewall is installed. |
| Severity | -2 | <i>Severity = (Criticality + Lethality) – (System Countermeasures + Network Countermeasures)</i> |
| Notes | Appears to be a DoS attack against port 21 (FTP) based upon the intervals and duration. The above attack lasted for several minutes. Source address belongs to an ISP. | |

Detect #2

| Time | Source | Destination | Protocol | Info |
|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------|---|
| 23:03:19.9379 | 206.251.4.210 | cablemodem.net | UDP | Source port: 1070 Destination port: 371 |
| 09:53:45.7975 | 206.251.4.210 | cablemodem.net | UDP | Source port: 1045 Destination port: 371 |
| 09:53:51.5325 | 206.251.4.210 | cablemodem.net | UDP | Source port: 1031 Destination port: 371 |
| 09:54:01.8435 | 206.251.4.210 | cablemodem.net | UDP | Source port: 1077 Destination port: 371 |
| 09:54:14.5085 | 206.251.4.210 | cablemodem.net | UDP | Source port: 1075 Destination port: 371 |
| 09:54:23.7125 | 206.251.4.210 | cablemodem.net | UDP | Source port: 1072 Destination port: 371 |
| 01:26:09.8009 | 206.251.4.210 | cablemodem.net | UDP | Source port: 1055 Destination port: 371 |
| 01:26:13.1410 | 206.251.4.210 | cablemodem.net | UDP | Source port: 1050 Destination port: 371 |
| 01:26:19.0080 | 206.251.4.210 | cablemodem.net | UDP | Source port: 1033 Destination port: 371 |
| 01:26:29.6579 | 206.251.4.210 | cablemodem.net | UDP | Source port: 1074 Destination port: 371 |
| 01:26:39.9379 | 206.251.4.210 | cablemodem.net | UDP | Source port: 1031 Destination port: 371 |
| 01:26:51.1130 | 206.251.4.210 | cablemodem.net | UDP | Source port: 1053 Destination port: 371 |
| 03:52:48.6009 | 206.251.4.210 | cablemodem.net | UDP | Source port: 1071 Destination port: 371 |
| 03:52:51.4320 | 206.251.4.210 | cablemodem.net | UDP | Source port: 1067 Destination port: 371 |
| 03:52:57.4079 | 206.251.4.210 | cablemodem.net | UDP | Source port: 1067 Destination port: 371 |
| 03:53:07.0520 | 206.251.4.210 | cablemodem.net | UDP | Source port: 1075 Destination port: 371 |
| 03:53:18.1679 | 206.251.4.210 | cablemodem.net | UDP | Source port: 1069 Destination port: 371 |
| 03:53:29.7580 | 206.251.4.210 | cablemodem.net | UDP | Source port: 1077 Destination port: 371 |
| 06:18:04.8079 | 206.251.4.210 | cablemodem.net | UDP | Source port: 1060 Destination port: 371 |
| 06:18:08.1380 | 206.251.4.210 | cablemodem.net | UDP | Source port: 1059 Destination port: 371 |
| 06:18:12.2910 | 206.251.4.210 | cablemodem.net | UDP | Source port: 1078 Destination port: 371 |
| 06:18:22.4620 | 206.251.4.210 | cablemodem.net | UDP | Source port: 1031 Destination port: 371 |
| 06:18:37.6380 | 206.251.4.210 | cablemodem.net | UDP | Source port: 1060 Destination port: 371 |
| 06:18:48.6879 | 206.251.4.210 | cablemodem.net | UDP | Source port: 1067 Destination port: 371 |

History Taken from a friend's Compaq Presario attached to a cable modem. The above scans were very common and typically followed the above time and frequency pattern.

Active Targeting? Yes.

IDIC Practical for Shane Boothe

| | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--|
| Criticality | 5 | Home computer with personal/financial data on it. |
| Lethality | 3 | Not sure how to rate this one, but since system updated may be installed by this, I gave it a 3. |
| System Countermeasures | 4 | OS is up to date. |
| Network Countermeasures | 4 | Host-based firewall installed. |
| Severity | 0 | Severity = (Criticality + Lethality) – (System Countermeasures + Network Countermeasures) |
| Notes | <p>After seeing the above activity I did an nslookup on 206.251.4.210, which returned Compaq as the owner. Being curious why Compaq would scan their customer's computers, I did a little research. UPD 371 is associated with either Clearcase, which is source control product from Rational Software (http://www.rational.com/products/clearcase/index.jhtml) or Backweb (http://www.backweb.com/), which is a push based software distribution solution. A quick search of Backweb's site verified the Compaq relationship (http://www.backweb.com/html/compaq.html). On the client computer an application named <i>Compaq Service Connection</i> is automatically started upon boot up. The software allows Compaq to deliver software updates and patches automatically. I'm not sure I like that idea! In any case we configured the firewall to trust this address so the updates could be delivered.</p> | |

Detect #3

| Time | Source | Destination | Protocol | Info |
|--------------------------------|--|---|----------|--|
| 20:05:29.0659 | 208.x.x.x | cablemodem.net | TCP | 23 > 23 [ACK] Seq=475530002 Ack=2045767734 Win=1028 Len=0 |
| 20:10:04.6150 | 208.x.x.x | cablemodem.net | TCP | 4 > 23 [FIN, SYN] Seq=777055218 Ack=596894454 Win=1028 Len=0 |
| 20:10:04.6150 | 208.x.x.x | cablemodem.net | TCP | 5 > 23 [PSH] Seq=777055218 Ack=596894454 Win=1028 Len=0 |
| 20:20:36.5310 | 00:50:80:35:f6:08 | ff:ff:ff:ff:ff:ff | ARP | Who has 208.223.13.42? Tell 208.223.13.1 |
| History | Taken from a friend's computer attached to a cable modem. I was not able to dig through the logs and look for previous activity. | | | |
| Active Targeting? | Yes. | | | |
| Criticality | 5 | Home computer with personal/financial data on it. | | |
| Lethality | 5 | Probably an <i>sscan</i> probe. | | |
| System Countermeasures | 4 | OS is up to date. | | |
| Network Countermeasures | 4 | Host-based firewall installed. | | |
| Severity | 2 | Severity = (Criticality + Lethality) – (System Countermeasures + Network Countermeasures) | | |

IDIC Practical for Shane Boothe

| | |
|--------------|--|
| Notes | <p>The first thing I noticed about the above activity was the single ACK being sent to port 23 (telnet). Several minutes later port 23 was probed again with the FIN SIN flags set from source port 4. At the same time port 23 was probed from source port 5 with the PSH flag set. This pattern is <i>similar</i> to that of an sscan probe (http://www.cert.org/incident_notes/IN-99-01.html), but the above traffic doesn't completely match the CERT Incident Note. Typically an sscan script will not continue if the first probe to port 23 fails, and several other source ports are typically used along with ports 4 & 5 in the probe. Additionally other behavior associated with sscan was not detected. With this in mind I can't be certain that this is an sscan probe. The combination of flags set may be an attempt to identify the OS running. Good thing the firewall was installed!</p> <p>I traced this back to a shipping company in California. Their technical contact did not respond to my emails and voicemails concerning this activity.</p> |
|--------------|--|

Detect #4

| Time | Source | Destination | Protocol | Info |
|---------------|------------|-------------|----------|-------------------------|
| 02:32:14.3108 | my.lan.com | 4.2.74.139 | ICMP | Destination unreachable |
| 02:32:14.3108 | my.lan.com | 4.2.74.139 | ICMP | Destination unreachable |
| 02:32:14.3108 | my.lan.com | 4.2.74.139 | ICMP | Destination unreachable |
| 02:32:14.3108 | my.lan.com | 4.2.74.139 | ICMP | Destination unreachable |
| 02:32:14.3208 | my.lan.com | 4.2.74.139 | ICMP | Destination unreachable |
| 02:32:14.3309 | my.lan.com | 4.2.74.139 | ICMP | Destination unreachable |
| 02:32:14.4610 | my.lan.com | 4.2.74.139 | ICMP | Destination unreachable |
| 02:32:14.4610 | my.lan.com | 4.2.74.139 | ICMP | Destination unreachable |
| 02:32:14.4610 | my.lan.com | 4.2.74.139 | ICMP | Destination unreachable |
| 02:32:14.4610 | my.lan.com | 4.2.74.139 | ICMP | Destination unreachable |
| 02:32:14.4711 | my.lan.com | 4.2.74.139 | ICMP | Destination unreachable |
| 02:32:14.4811 | my.lan.com | 4.2.74.139 | ICMP | Destination unreachable |
| 02:32:14.6213 | my.lan.com | 4.2.74.139 | ICMP | Destination unreachable |
| 02:32:14.6213 | my.lan.com | 4.2.74.139 | ICMP | Destination unreachable |
| 02:32:14.6213 | my.lan.com | 4.2.74.139 | ICMP | Destination unreachable |
| 02:32:14.6613 | my.lan.com | 4.2.74.139 | ICMP | Destination unreachable |
| 02:32:14.6613 | my.lan.com | 4.2.74.139 | ICMP | Destination unreachable |
| 02:32:14.6613 | my.lan.com | 4.2.74.139 | ICMP | Destination unreachable |
| 02:32:14.6613 | my.lan.com | 4.2.74.139 | ICMP | Destination unreachable |
| 02:32:14.7615 | my.lan.com | 4.2.74.139 | ICMP | Destination unreachable |
| 02:32:14.7615 | my.lan.com | 4.2.74.139 | ICMP | Destination unreachable |
| 02:32:14.8917 | my.lan.com | 4.2.74.139 | ICMP | Destination unreachable |

| | | |
|--------------------------|----------|-----------------|
| History | None. | |
| Active Targeting? | Unknown. | |
| Criticality | 3 | My workstation. |
| Lethality | 2 | Low. |

IDIC Practical for Shane Boothe

| | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--|
| System Countermeasures | 4 | OS is up to date. |
| Network Countermeasures | 4 | Host-based firewall. |
| Severity | -3 | <i>Severity = (Criticality + Lethality) – (System Countermeasures + Network Countermeasures)</i> |
| Notes | I was using trying out a web based telephony service called Dialpad (http://www.dialpad.com/) when the above activity was logged. Moments after this activity came in, the audio portion of Dialpad quit working. At first I thought someone was using spoofed addresses for a DoS attack. Upon further investigation the destination address was valid (fa0.ewaldc-egw46.bbnplanet.net). I did a whois and got the contact information for the destination address and called. After getting transferred to several different people, the individual I finally spoke with indicated that they have been getting similar reports from other sites. She also suggested I turn off my firewall in order to use the Dialpad service! | |

Detect #5

| Time | Source | Destination | Protocol | Info |
|---------------|----------------|-------------------|----------|--|
| 16:11:07.4370 | cablemodem.net | my.cablemodem.net | TCP | 1313 > 1 [SYN] Seq=8345199 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 16:11:07.4400 | cablemodem.net | my.cablemodem.net | TCP | 1314 > 2 [SYN] Seq=8345207 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 16:11:07.4400 | cablemodem.net | my.cablemodem.net | TCP | 1315 > 3 [SYN] Seq=8345221 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 16:11:07.4400 | cablemodem.net | my.cablemodem.net | TCP | 1316 > 4 [SYN] Seq=8345225 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 16:11:07.4400 | cablemodem.net | my.cablemodem.net | TCP | 1317 > 5 [SYN] Seq=8345235 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 16:11:07.4400 | cablemodem.net | my.cablemodem.net | TCP | 1318 > 6 [SYN] Seq=8345236 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 16:11:07.4400 | cablemodem.net | my.cablemodem.net | TCP | 1319 > 7 [SYN] Seq=8345243 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 16:11:07.4400 | cablemodem.net | my.cablemodem.net | TCP | 1320 > 8 [SYN] Seq=8345256 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 16:11:07.4400 | cablemodem.net | my.cablemodem.net | TCP | 1321 > 9 [SYN] Seq=8345259 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 16:11:07.4400 | cablemodem.net | my.cablemodem.net | TCP | 1322 > 10 [SYN] Seq=8345268 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 16:11:07.4400 | cablemodem.net | my.cablemodem.net | TCP | 1323 > 11 [SYN] Seq=8473268 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 16:11:07.4400 | cablemodem.net | my.cablemodem.net | TCP | 1324 > 12 [SYN] Seq=8473274 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 16:11:07.4400 | cablemodem.net | my.cablemodem.net | TCP | 1325 > 13 [SYN] Seq=8473286 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 16:11:07.4400 | cablemodem.net | my.cablemodem.net | TCP | 1326 > 14 [SYN] Seq=8473288 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 16:11:07.4400 | cablemodem.net | my.cablemodem.net | TCP | 1327 > 15 [SYN] Seq=8473296 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 16:11:07.4400 | cablemodem.net | my.cablemodem.net | TCP | 1328 > 16 [SYN] Seq=8473311 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 16:11:07.4400 | cablemodem.net | my.cablemodem.net | TCP | 1329 > 17 [SYN] Seq=8473316 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 16:11:07.4400 | cablemodem.net | my.cablemodem.net | TCP | 1330 > 18 [SYN] Seq=8473327 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 16:11:07.4400 | cablemodem.net | my.cablemodem.net | TCP | 1331 > 19 [SYN] Seq=8473328 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 16:11:07.4400 | cablemodem.net | my.cablemodem.net | TCP | 1332 > 20 [SYN] Seq=8473336 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |

| | | |
|--------------------------|--|---|
| History | No previous history from this particular source address. | |
| Active Targeting? | Yes. | |
| Criticality | 2 | My home computer without any critical data. |

IDIC Practical for Shane Boothe

| | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--|
| Lethality | 5 | Very deliberate port scan. |
| System Countermeasures | 4 | Os is up to date. |
| Network Countermeasures | 4 | Host-based firewall. |
| Severity | -1 | <i>Severity = (Criticality + Lethality) – (System Countermeasures + Network Countermeasures)</i> |
| Notes | This type of port mapping is pretty common on the cable modem network I subscribe to. Due to the fact that the source address is from the same cable modem network I'm on along with the speed of the scan and the time of day, I suspect this is a local kid running a script to map neighboring computers. They certainly are not worried about setting off an IDS (note the speed and consecutive nature of the scan)! I usually see this type of activity after 3PM and on weekends, hence my suspicion that kids are playing around. | |

| Detect #6 | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--|----------|--|
| Time | Source | Destination | Protocol | Info |
| 18:09:51.1369 | dialup.net | my.lan.com | TCP | 4250 > 53 [SYN] Seq=1682342 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 18:09:51.1419 | dialup.net | my.lan.com | TCP | 4250 > 53 [SYN] Seq=1682342 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 18:09:51.1419 | dialup.net | my.lan.com | TCP | 4250 > 53 [SYN] Seq=1682342 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 18:09:51.1449 | dialup.net | my.lan.com | TCP | 4250 > 53 [SYN] Seq=1682342 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| History | From a workstation in our DMZ. Several times a month we see this activity. The source is an IP block assigned to an ISP. | | | |
| Active Targeting? | Not Sure. | | | |
| Criticality | 3 | Workstations. | | |
| Lethality | 2 | These computers are not running DNS. | | |
| System Countermeasures | 4 | All computers are up to date with patches. | | |
| Network Countermeasures | 4 | Host-based firewalls are installed. | | |
| Severity | -3 | <i>Severity = (Criticality + Lethality) – (System Countermeasures + Network Countermeasures)</i> | | |
| Notes | DNS probe. The source addresses are from a local dialup ISP. Due to the source and the randomness of the probe, I suspect this is a misconfigured computer. Unfortunately I can't rule out Back Orifice since some hackers will search for it on TCP 53. Fortunately our anti-virus software detects Back Orifice and would neutralize the problem. | | | |

Detect #7

| Time | Source | Destination | Protocol | Info |
|---------------|----------------|-------------|----------|---|
| 07:12:15.2369 | dialup.ISP.net | my.lan.com | TCP | 4370 > 37 [SYN] Seq=1682682 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 07:12:15.2400 | dialup.ISP.net | my.lan.com | TCP | 4371 > 13 [SYN] Seq=1682694 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 07:12:15.2400 | dialup.ISP.net | my.lan.com | TCP | 4371 > 13 [SYN] Seq=1682694 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 07:13:27.1469 | dialup.ISP.net | my.lan.com | NTP | NTP |
| 07:13:27.1469 | dialup.ISP.net | my.lan.com | TCP | 4371 > 13 [SYN] Seq=1682694 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 07:13:27.1499 | dialup.ISP.net | my.lan.com | TCP | 4371 > 13 [SYN] Seq=1682694 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 07:13:27.1499 | dialup.ISP.net | my.lan.com | TCP | 4373 > 37 [SYN] Seq=1682713 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 07:13:27.1499 | dialup.ISP.net | my.lan.com | TCP | 4373 > 37 [SYN] Seq=1682713 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 07:13:27.1549 | dialup.ISP.net | my.lan.com | TCP | 4373 > 37 [SYN] Seq=1682713 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 07:13:27.1549 | dialup.ISP.net | my.lan.com | TCP | 4373 > 37 [SYN] Seq=1682713 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 07:13:39.6119 | dialup.ISP.net | my.lan.com | NTP | NTP |

| | | |
|--------------------------------|---|---|
| History | Taken from a computer in the DMZ. We see this type of activity 2-3 times a month but from different source addresses. | |
| Active Targeting? | Yes. | |
| Criticality | 5 | Web server. |
| Lethality | 3 | Recon. |
| System Countermeasures | 4 | OS is up to date with patches. |
| Network Countermeasures | 4 | Host-based firewall. |
| Severity | 0 | $Severity = (Criticality + Lethality) - (System Countermeasures + Network Countermeasures)$ |
| Notes | This may be either network mapping or OS fingerprinting. Source IP address comes from an IP block belonging to a dialup ISP. TCP 13 is the <i>daytime</i> protocol (http://www.cis.ohio-state.edu/htbin/rfc/rfc867.html) and TCP 37 is the <i>time</i> protocol (http://www.cis.ohio-state.edu/htbin/rfc/rfc738.html). My suspicion is OS fingerprinting. | |

Detect #8

| Time | Source | Destination | Protocol | Info |
|---------------|------------|-------------|----------|---|
| 00:49:31.6608 | hacker.com | my.lan.com | ICMP | Echo (ping) request |
| 00:49:31.6608 | my.lan.com | hacker.com | ICMP | Echo (ping) reply |
| 00:49:31.6608 | hacker.com | my.lan.com | TCP | 256 > 257 [ACK] Seq=286331153 Ack=572662306 Win=4096 Len=12 |
| 00:49:31.6608 | hacker.com | my.lan.com | IP | Fragmented IP protocol (proto=TCP 0x06, off=4) |
| 00:49:31.6608 | my.lan.com | hacker.com | TCP | 257 > 256 [RST] Seq=572662306 Ack=572662306 Win=0 Len=0 |
| 00:49:31.6608 | hacker.com | my.lan.com | IP | Fragmented IP protocol (proto=TCP 0x06, off=32) |
| 00:49:31.6608 | hacker.com | my.lan.com | IP | Fragmented IP protocol (proto=TCP 0x06, off=64) |
| 00:49:31.6608 | hacker.com | my.lan.com | TCP | 256 > 257 [ACK] Seq=286331153 Ack=572662306 Win=4096 Len=12 |
| 00:49:31.6608 | hacker.com | my.lan.com | IP | Fragmented IP protocol (proto=TCP 0x06, off=4) |
| 00:49:31.6608 | my.lan.com | hacker.com | TCP | 257 > 256 [RST] Seq=572662306 Ack=572662306 Win=0 Len=0 |
| 00:49:31.6608 | hacker.com | my.lan.com | IP | Fragmented IP protocol (proto=TCP 0x06, off=32) |
| 00:49:31.6608 | hacker.com | my.lan.com | IP | Fragmented IP protocol (proto=TCP 0x06, off=64) |
| 00:49:31.6709 | hacker.com | my.lan.com | TCP | 256 > 257 [ACK] Seq=286331153 Ack=572662306 Win=4096 Len=12 |
| 00:49:31.6709 | hacker.com | my.lan.com | IP | Fragmented IP protocol (proto=TCP 0x06, off=4) |
| 00:49:31.6709 | my.lan.com | hacker.com | TCP | 257 > 256 [RST] Seq=572662306 Ack=572662306 Win=0 Len=0 |
| 00:49:31.6709 | hacker.com | my.lan.com | IP | Fragmented IP protocol (proto=TCP 0x06, off=32) |
| 00:49:31.6709 | hacker.com | my.lan.com | IP | Fragmented IP protocol (proto=TCP 0x06, off=64) |
| 00:49:31.6709 | hacker.com | my.lan.com | TCP | 256 > 257 [ACK] Seq=286331153 Ack=572662306 Win=4096 Len=12 |
| 00:49:31.6709 | hacker.com | my.lan.com | IP | Fragmented IP protocol (proto=TCP 0x06, off=4) |
| 00:49:31.6709 | my.lan.com | hacker.com | TCP | 257 > 256 [RST] Seq=572662306 Ack=572662306 Win=0 Len=0 |

| | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--|
| History | None recalled with the source address. Taken from a workstation in our DMZ but running a host-based firewall. | |
| Active Targeting? | Yes. | |
| Criticality | 0 | Just an NT box used as a test bed. We re-Ghost the disk image on a regular basis. |
| Lethality | 3 | DoS attack. |
| System Countermeasures | 4 | OS has latest service pack (6a) installed. |
| Network Countermeasures | 4 | Host-based firewall. |
| Severity | -5 | <i>Severity = (Criticality + Lethality) – (System Countermeasures + Network Countermeasures)</i> |
| Notes | This is a DoS attack using fragmented packets. The hacker <i>may</i> have fingerprinted the workstation earlier and discovered it is an NT box. Older versions of NT did not handle fragmented packets well, but this has been fixed several service packs ago. | |

Detect #9

| Time | Source | Destination | Protocol | Info |
|---------------|--|-----------------|----------|--|
| 14:34:08.4679 | hacker.com | my.computer.com | TCP | 1044 > 20034 [SYN] Seq=1127040 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 14:34:08.4679 | my.computer.com | hacker.com | TCP | 20034 > 1044 [RST, ACK] Seq=0 Ack=1127041 Win=0 Len=0 |
| 14:34:08.4980 | ***** Non-relevant traffic deleted ***** | | | |
| 14:34:08.4980 | ***** Non-relevant traffic deleted ***** | | | |
| 14:34:08.6081 | ***** Non-relevant traffic deleted ***** | | | |
| 14:34:08.6782 | ***** Non-relevant traffic deleted ***** | | | |
| 14:34:08.8284 | ***** Non-relevant traffic deleted ***** | | | |
| 14:34:08.8985 | hacker.com | my.computer.com | TCP | 1044 > 20034 [SYN] Seq=1127040 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 14:34:08.8985 | my.computer.com | hacker.com | TCP | 20034 > 1044 [RST, ACK] Seq=0 Ack=1127041 Win=0 Len=0 |
| 14:34:09.1589 | ***** Non-relevant traffic deleted ***** | | | |
| 14:34:09.1889 | ***** Non-relevant traffic deleted ***** | | | |
| 14:34:09.3892 | ***** Non-relevant traffic deleted ***** | | | |
| 14:34:09.3992 | hacker.com | my.computer.com | TCP | 1044 > 20034 [SYN] Seq=1127040 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 14:34:09.3992 | my.computer.com | hacker.com | TCP | 20034 > 1044 [RST, ACK] Seq=0 Ack=1127041 Win=0 Len=0 |
| 14:34:09.9000 | hacker.com | my.computer.com | TCP | 1044 > 20034 [SYN] Seq=1127040 Ack=0 Win=8192 Len=0 |
| 14:34:09.9000 | my.computer.com | hacker.com | TCP | 20034 > 1044 [RST, ACK] Seq=0 Ack=1127041 Win=0 Len=0 |

| | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|
| History | None recorded from this source address. | |
| Active Targeting? | Yes! | |
| Criticality | 3 | Workstation. |
| Lethality | 5 | Remote control Trojan. |
| System Countermeasures | 3 | Patches are up to date, but our antivirus software doesn't catch Net Bus. |
| Network Countermeasures | 4 | Host-based firewall. |
| Severity | 1 | <i>Severity = (Criticality + Lethality) - (System Countermeasures + Network Countermeasures)</i> |
| Notes | This came from a computer in our DMZ. Looks like a Net Bus 2 Pro scan (http://netbus.org/) based upon the TCP port 20034 probe (http://www.simovits.com/nyheter9902.html). Fortunately the firewall rejected the attempt. This is the only Net Bus scan I've detected (so far!). | |

Detect #10

| Time | Source | Destination | Protocol | Info |
|---------------|----------------|-------------------|----------|--|
| 23:40:06.4716 | cablemodem.net | my.cablemodem.net | UDP | Source port: 1417 Destination port: 31337 |
| 23:40:11.4788 | cablemodem.net | my.cablemodem.net | UDP | Source port: 1417 Destination port: 31337 |
| 23:40:16.4860 | cablemodem.net | my.cablemodem.net | UDP | Source port: 1417 Destination port: 31337 |
| 23:40:21.4932 | cablemodem.net | my.cablemodem.net | UDP | Source port: 1417 Destination port: 31337 |
| 23:40:26.5004 | cablemodem.net | my.cablemodem.net | UDP | Source port: 1417 Destination port: 31337 |

| | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|
| History | Taken from a computer attached to a cable modem. I don't know if this source address has probed this system before. I don't really keep track of BO Pings on this system because it happens so often. | |
| Active Targeting? | Yes. | |
| Criticality | 2 | Just a home computer without any critical data on it. |
| Lethality | 4 | Trojan that gives the hacker remote control of the system. |
| System Countermeasures | 5 | Antivirus software was installed and up to date, which would catch if BO were running (http://vil.nai.com/vilib/dispVirus.asp?virus_k=10002). OS is running latest patches. |
| Network Countermeasures | 4 | Firewall. |
| Severity | -3 | $Severity = (Criticality + Lethality) - (System Countermeasures + Network Countermeasures)$ |
| Notes | This is a probe to see if the Back Orifice Trojan (http://www.cultdeadcow.com/tools/) is running on my computer. Given that Back Orifice is configurable, more sophisticated hackers will modify the destination port from the default of 31337. Since this scan is on the default UDP port of 31337 (http://www.simovits.com/nyheter9902.html), I suspect a "script kiddie" at play. This particular scan came from the same cable modem system that I'm on. | |

Upcoming Training

Click Here to
{Get CERTIFIED!}



| | | | |
|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| SANS San Antonio 2017 | San Antonio, TX | Aug 06, 2017 - Aug 11, 2017 | Live Event |
| SANS Boston 2017 | Boston, MA | Aug 07, 2017 - Aug 12, 2017 | Live Event |
| SANS Virginia Beach 2017 | Virginia Beach, VA | Aug 21, 2017 - Sep 01, 2017 | Live Event |
| SANS Adelaide 2017 | Adelaide, Australia | Aug 21, 2017 - Aug 26, 2017 | Live Event |
| SANS Network Security 2017 | Las Vegas, NV | Sep 10, 2017 - Sep 17, 2017 | Live Event |
| SANS vLive - SEC503: Intrusion Detection In-Depth | SEC503 - 201709, | Sep 11, 2017 - Oct 18, 2017 | vLive |
| Baltimore Fall 2017 - SEC503: Intrusion Detection In-Depth | Baltimore, MD | Sep 25, 2017 - Sep 30, 2017 | vLive |
| SANS London September 2017 | London, United Kingdom | Sep 25, 2017 - Sep 30, 2017 | Live Event |
| SANS Baltimore Fall 2017 | Baltimore, MD | Sep 25, 2017 - Sep 30, 2017 | Live Event |
| Community SANS Scottsdale SEC503 | Scottsdale, AZ | Oct 02, 2017 - Oct 07, 2017 | Community SANS |
| SANS October Singapore 2017 | Singapore, Singapore | Oct 09, 2017 - Oct 28, 2017 | Live Event |
| Community SANS Ottawa SEC503 | Ottawa, ON | Oct 16, 2017 - Oct 21, 2017 | Community SANS |
| SANS Berlin 2017 | Berlin, Germany | Oct 23, 2017 - Oct 28, 2017 | Live Event |
| San Diego Fall 2017 - SEC503: Intrusion Detection In-Depth | San Diego, CA | Oct 30, 2017 - Nov 04, 2017 | vLive |
| SANS San Diego 2017 | San Diego, CA | Oct 30, 2017 - Nov 04, 2017 | Live Event |
| SANS Seattle 2017 | Seattle, WA | Oct 30, 2017 - Nov 04, 2017 | Live Event |
| SANS Paris November 2017 | Paris, France | Nov 13, 2017 - Nov 18, 2017 | Live Event |
| Community SANS Pensacola SEC503 | Pensacola, FL | Nov 27, 2017 - Dec 02, 2017 | Community SANS |
| SIEM & Tactical Analytics Summit & Training | Scottsdale, AZ | Nov 28, 2017 - Dec 05, 2017 | Live Event |
| SANS Cyber Defense Initiative 2017 | Washington, DC | Dec 12, 2017 - Dec 19, 2017 | Live Event |
| SANS Security East 2018 | New Orleans, LA | Jan 08, 2018 - Jan 13, 2018 | Live Event |
| SANS Las Vegas 2018 | Las Vegas, NV | Jan 28, 2018 - Feb 02, 2018 | Live Event |
| SANS Dallas 2018 | Dallas, TX | Feb 19, 2018 - Feb 24, 2018 | Live Event |
| SANS OnDemand | Online | Anytime | Self Paced |
| SANS SelfStudy | Books & MP3s Only | Anytime | Self Paced |