



# Global Information Assurance Certification Paper

Copyright SANS Institute  
Author Retains Full Rights

This paper is taken from the GIAC directory of certified professionals. Reposting is not permitted without express written permission.

## Interested in learning more?

Check out the list of upcoming events offering  
"Security Essentials Bootcamp Style (Security 401)"  
at <http://www.giac.org/registration/gsec>

## **Security Implications of Update Agent Software**

Shaun Glaim

October 5, 2000

### **Introduction**

Many of today's programs employ methods to keep their code up to date. How do the update methods used by these programs ensure code validity, and what sort of vulnerabilities exist that could be exploited. This paper will look at the different methods used by some of today's more popular programs and examine some items that may give cause for concern.

### **Background**

In the past, software was upgraded by the local support personnel and generally delivered on media. Most times the source of the upgrade was known to be valid in origin, and presented little in the way of a security threat to the end user, and the corporate network.

In today's digital world however there is an increasing use of automatic upgrade programs to patch software, deliver new software packages, and update operating systems components. Most end users will generally trust that the files they are receiving via these update agents have not been corrupted, and that they come from the source that is claiming to deliver them.

However, as this may not necessarily be the case, most of the update programs in use today utilize some form of data encryption or hash to create a signature for the software that is being updated. This is done in order to provide an additional form of package validation.

In some cases the upgrade agent also utilizes a secure download communication channel as a method of source verification.

### **Methodology**

What are the risks inherent in today's auto update programs?

In order to highlight some of the methods that are used today, and their risks, I will use the following procedure:

Outline how the update agent performs the download of its software packages. Examine the method that is used by the agent for package verification. Once that has been established I will provide a known example, if one exists, of how the agent may be vulnerable. I will then examine what sort of risk this may pose.

In order to do this I have opted to examine two well know anti-virus products. I will also examine the methods used by two of today's Operating Systems: Microsoft's Windows Update, and Linux's RPM method.

### **Specific examples:**

#### **Norton Live Update**

##### Method Used:

Norton's Live Update can use two methods to download its packages: Http and FTP (as a fallback method). The retrieval location of the updates can be controlled from the users workstation, and can be set to get updates from locations other than Symantec's servers. The update program verifies downloaded packages by checking the digital signature of the update. This includes checking to see that the name of the downloaded package is reflected in the digital signature. In the case of Norton's tools, the filenames change with every update of the software and usually include the month and day that the package was released.

##### Known vulnerabilities:

None came to light during research.

### Security implications:

If a way can be found to emulate the digital signature of the downloaded packages, it would be possible for an attacker to customize the location from which the download would take place. This would in effect allow for a rapid deployment of any such trojaned files.

### **McAfee AutoUpdate**

#### Method Used:

McAfee's AutoUpdate uses two methods to download its packages: FTP and local Network shares. The retrieval location of the updates can be controlled from the users workstation, and can be set to get updates from locations other than McAfee's servers. The download location is controlled via a registry key in Windows, and is easy to change.

The update program, which checks for the digital signature inside a known .ini file, verifies downloaded packages.

#### Known vulnerabilities:

Testing of Netshield 4.5 and VirusScan 4.5 indicated that both products were shown to have a potential security hole in the AutoUpgrade portion of the product.

As Richard Fry pointed out in his July 11, 2000 email to the NTBugtraq list:

"If the directory pointed to by the mechanism (controlled via a registry key) is insecure and has the correct format (PKGDESC.INI, SETUP.ISS included) any file, which is placed there with the name of SETUP.EXE, will be run in local administrator context."

#### Security implications:

In this case a Trojan program could be renamed as SETUP.EXE, and would be executed with full privileges. This type of hole has very serious implications for the security of any system that is using this product. Any type of malicious program could be installed and, in the worst cases, allows the attacker to gain a foothold into the System, and the networks that it is attached to.

### **Windows Update**

#### Method Used:

When you log on to Microsoft's Windows Updates page, an HTTPS connection is established to the site. During this process the windows update site presents it's digital certificate for validation. Once the site has been verified, an ActiveX control is downloaded and the machine is scanned to see what update packages may be needed. All of the packages have been signed with Microsoft's Authenticode technology. Authenticode consists of a hash algorithm, an encrypted key specification, and a site certificate specification.

#### Known vulnerabilities:

There have not been any documented cases of vulnerabilities within the Windows Update Service. However, since this service utilizes ActiveX and Java Script in order to scan for updates, it may be possible to take advantage of holes in this software.

ActiveX has been demonstrated to have many security holes and in the case of the "Exploder Control", it was shown that even an Authenticode signed control could still be malicious.

#### Security implications:

Although no know holes exist in the Windows Update service there are known holes in the underlying technologies being used. It may be possible to leverage these services as part of an attack.

### **RPM Update**

## Method Used:

RPM update is used by various Linux platforms to install updates. In most cases, packages are downloaded from a mirror site via FTP; however, the site that the download comes from may not be a secure or valid site. Therefore when the RPM packages are created, they are given an MD5 checksum and the contents of the RPM are listed as part of the header. After the packages have been downloaded, they can be checked for authenticity by validating their checksum and viewing the package list.

In addition, the Security teams from some of the Linux companies are utilizing a PGP key and they are signing RPM packages to further authenticate that the packages are valid and from a proper originating source.

Mandrake Update and Redhat Update Agent are two specific examples of these types of agents. Each handles the update process in a slightly different manner.

When invoked, Mandrake Update shows what packages are available from a mirror site that is selected by the operator. The update packages are then downloaded. Next, a check is done to see if the current operator running the upgrade program is the root operator. If they are not, they are then prompted to login as root to complete the upgrades.

When run, Redhats Update Agent checks to see if the current operator asking for the updates is the root operator. If this validation completes, the agent then connects to a secure known site where the RPM packages are stored and the selection and download process is started.

## Known vulnerabilities:

For this type of upgrade agent, the process is controlled as only the root operator is allowed to install package updates (on a properly configured system). However, there are exploits of the RPM agent itself that utilize buffer overflows to seize elevated access on the machine.

## Security implications:

A great amount of security consideration was given to this type of design. Packages are given a digital signature and a Public Key, and the package contents are listed. Some of the distributions even utilize secure sites for downloading the packages. However, this process still relies upon a properly configured machine and a knowledgeable systems administrator to check the validity of the downloaded packages.

## **Conclusions**

Most automatic upgrade software is not designed with security foremost in mind. In fact, all of the upgrade agents still rely on the end user to know what is taking place.

Even if the process of doing the upgrade has been designed with security in mind the underlying technologies that are being used to deliver and verify the content may not be secure.

Although auto-updating software provides convenience there continues to be a trade off with regards to security concerns.

Ensuring that the content is not corrupt, and that the security of a system is intact, still requires a knowledgeable person to manage the update process.

## **Resources Used**

Symantec. " Symantec Support Site."

URL: <http://www.symantec.com/techsupp/index.html>

Symantec. Norton Antivirus 2000 Documentation.

URL: <http://www.symantec.com/techsupp/files/nav/nav2001.html>

Mcafee. " How to update your DAT files", Mcafee online help manual

URL: [http://www.nai.com/asp\\_set/services/technical\\_support/docs.asp?pCode=NSHIN](http://www.nai.com/asp_set/services/technical_support/docs.asp?pCode=NSHIN)

Microsoft. "About Windows Update" Microsoft Windows Update.

URL: <http://windowsupdate.microsoft.com/R553/V31site/x86/nt5/en/Ie5/about.htm>

Microsoft. " Frequently Asked Questions About Authenticode."

URL: <http://msdn.microsoft.com/workshop/security/authcode/signfaq.asp>

Microsoft. " Security – Certificate and Authenticode."

URL: <http://www.microsoft.com/technet/security/authtech.asp>

RedHat Linux, Working with Update Agent, **The Official Red Hat Linux Reference Guide**

URL: <http://www.redhat.com/support/manuals/RHL-6.2-Manual/ref-guide/ch-up2date.html>

RedHat Linux, Package Management with RPM, **The Official Red Hat Linux Reference Guide**

URL: <http://www.redhat.com/support/manuals/RHL-6.2-Manual/ref-guide/ch-rpm.html>

SANS, **ActiveX Controls - Risk and Control**, Kam Ng

URL: <http://www.sans.org/infosecFAQ/activex.htm>

Mandrake Linux, MandrakeSoft Security Advisory

URL: <http://www.linux-mandrake.com/en/security/MDKSA-2000-034.php3>

NTBugtraq, "Potential Vulnerability in McAfee Netshield and VirusScan 4.5" Richard Fry

URL: <http://www.ntbugtraq.com/default.asp?pid=36&sid=1&A2=ind0007&L=ntbugtraq&O=D&P=2753>

© SANS Institute 2000 - 2005, Au.

# Upcoming Training

Click Here to  
**{Get CERTIFIED!}**



SANS Seattle Spring 2018	Seattle, WA	Apr 23, 2018 - Apr 28, 2018	Live Event
Mentor Session - AW SEC401	Detroit, MI	May 01, 2018 - May 17, 2018	Mentor
SANS Security West 2018	San Diego, CA	May 11, 2018 - May 18, 2018	Live Event
SANS Northern VA Reston Spring 2018	Reston, VA	May 20, 2018 - May 25, 2018	Live Event
SANS Atlanta 2018	Atlanta, GA	May 29, 2018 - Jun 03, 2018	Live Event
Community SANS Bethesda SEC401	Bethesda, MD	Jun 04, 2018 - Jun 09, 2018	Community SANS
Community SANS New York SEC401	New York, NY	Jun 04, 2018 - Jun 09, 2018	Community SANS
SANS London June 2018	London, United Kingdom	Jun 04, 2018 - Jun 12, 2018	Live Event
SANS Rocky Mountain 2018	Denver, CO	Jun 04, 2018 - Jun 09, 2018	Live Event
Community SANS Madison SEC401	Madison, WI	Jun 18, 2018 - Jun 23, 2018	Community SANS
SANS Crystal City 2018	Arlington, VA	Jun 18, 2018 - Jun 23, 2018	Live Event
SANS Cyber Defence Japan 2018	Tokyo, Japan	Jun 18, 2018 - Jun 30, 2018	Live Event
SANS Oslo June 2018	Oslo, Norway	Jun 18, 2018 - Jun 23, 2018	Live Event
Community SANS Portland SEC401	Portland, OR	Jun 18, 2018 - Jun 23, 2018	Community SANS
Minneapolis 2018 - SEC401: Security Essentials Bootcamp Style	Minneapolis, MN	Jun 25, 2018 - Jun 30, 2018	vLive
Community SANS Nashville SEC401	Nashville, TN	Jun 25, 2018 - Jun 30, 2018	Community SANS
SANS Minneapolis 2018	Minneapolis, MN	Jun 25, 2018 - Jun 30, 2018	Live Event
SANS Cyber Defence Canberra 2018	Canberra, Australia	Jun 25, 2018 - Jul 07, 2018	Live Event
SANS Vancouver 2018	Vancouver, BC	Jun 25, 2018 - Jun 30, 2018	Live Event
SANS London July 2018	London, United Kingdom	Jul 02, 2018 - Jul 07, 2018	Live Event
SANS Cyber Defence Singapore 2018	Singapore, Singapore	Jul 09, 2018 - Jul 14, 2018	Live Event
SANS Charlotte 2018	Charlotte, NC	Jul 09, 2018 - Jul 14, 2018	Live Event
SANSFIRE 2018	Washington, DC	Jul 14, 2018 - Jul 21, 2018	Live Event
SANS Malaysia 2018	Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia	Jul 16, 2018 - Jul 21, 2018	Live Event
SANSFIRE 2018 - SEC401: Security Essentials Bootcamp Style	Washington, DC	Jul 16, 2018 - Jul 21, 2018	vLive
Mentor Session - SEC401	Jacksonville, FL	Jul 17, 2018 - Aug 28, 2018	Mentor
Community SANS Bethesda SEC401	Bethesda, MD	Jul 23, 2018 - Jul 28, 2018	Community SANS
SANS Pittsburgh 2018	Pittsburgh, PA	Jul 30, 2018 - Aug 04, 2018	Live Event
SANS Boston Summer 2018	Boston, MA	Aug 06, 2018 - Aug 11, 2018	Live Event
San Antonio 2018 - SEC401: Security Essentials Bootcamp Style	San Antonio, TX	Aug 06, 2018 - Aug 11, 2018	vLive
SANS August Sydney 2018	Sydney, Australia	Aug 06, 2018 - Aug 25, 2018	Live Event