



Global Information Assurance Certification Paper

Copyright SANS Institute
Author Retains Full Rights

This paper is taken from the GIAC directory of certified professionals. Reposting is not permitted without express written permission.

Interested in learning more?

Check out the list of upcoming events offering
"Security Essentials Bootcamp Style (Security 401)"
at <http://www.giac.org/registration/gsec>

Secure Legal Transactions with Digital Signatures - A Legislative Update

Steve Osen

May 23, 2000

I. Introduction

With the explosion of Internet commerce the requirements for assuring the legality of any electronic transaction has become the primary concern for businesses throughout the United States. Current legal practice requires most transactions be formalized through documentation and signing or authentication. The historical method of binding two parties in a legal agreement is a document with signatures. The signature will identify the signer with the signed document and express the desire that it have legal significance. This will be done with both parties present, which guarantees that the document is authentic and the signatures are authentic. How can corporate America obtain the same or higher level of legal protection through the use of electronic means? The business community must develop policies, guidelines and tools to obtain the appropriate level of protection for all parties in a binding agreement using electronic/digital signatures.

A massive amount of legislation has been introduced in state legislatures and the federal government over the last few years. This legislation should insure the legal system would accept electronic/digital signatures as required by the "U.C.C."(Uniform Commercial Code) and other laws and create polices and procedures that will recognize secure electronic forms as legally binding. This will require a strong legal framework that is recognized at all layers of state and federal government. The states rely on model laws created by the NCCUSL (National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws).

II. The Framework

The information provided by 'McBride Baker & Coles ' indicates that 47 states have some form of 'electronic signature' legislation 'Enacted' as of 1/13/2000. The statutes vary in their coverage and the types of signatures that are authorized. 'McBride Baker & Coles' (hereafter know as MBC) defines the signature types as follows: (1) Any Electronic signature – general definitions of electronic signatures that do not impose any requirements relating to security of the signature, and also encompass pki-based digital signatures, either expressly or be implication, (2) Digital Signatures – are limited in scope to pki-based signatures, (3) Any Electronic Signatures with specified authentication attributes only – the statute gives legal effect only to those type of electronic signatures that meets certain authentication attributes; requirements that the signature be unique to the person using it, capable of verification, under the sole control of the person using it and linked to data in such a manner that if the data are changed, the digital signature is invalidated. There are some statutes that use the term 'electronic signature' and some that use 'digital signature'. MBC has researched the different verbiage in the statutes and compiled their findings in tables located on their web site. These tables include information on provisions relating to: (a) Scope of Authorization to Use of Electronic Signatures, (b) Definitions of the Term 'Electronic Signature', (c) Definitions of the Term 'Digital Signature', (d) Liability of Identified Signer, (e) Liability of Unauthorized Signer, (f) Liability of Certification Authorities, and (e) Presumptions.

III. The NCCUSL

The NCCUSL (National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws) is comprised of over 300 lawyers, judges and law professors, appointed by the states to draft proposals for 'Uniform and Model Laws'. NCCUSL has recently finished work on the final draft of the 'Uniform Computer Information Transactions Act' and will present the document at the next NCCUSL meeting for final approval. The NCCUSL has also given final approval for the 'Uniform Electronic Transactions Act'. These two Acts have created the legal framework from which states can create uniform laws that pertain to electronic commerce.

IV. The UETA (Uniform Electronic Transactions Act)

The 'Uniform Electronic Transactions Act' (hereafter known as the UETA) is designed to 'support and compliment' the states digital signature statute's. This Act tries to equalize the validity of electronic signatures with manual signatures. Part of the Definition for "Electronic Signature" in this Act states, "A digital signature using public key encryption technology would qualify as an electronic signature, as would the mere inclusion of one's name as a part of an e-mail message – so long as in each case the signer executed or adopted the symbol with the intent to sign". Therefore if the state has a statute covering

electronic/digital signatures this Act would clarify what is construed as an electronic transaction and electronic signature. The UTEA applies only to transactions related to business, commercial (including consumer) and governmental matters and is therefore limited in scope. The states that have adopted the UETA are: Arizona, California, Idaho, Indiana, Kentucky, Maryland, Minnesota, Nebraska, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Utah, and Virginia. States/district that will be introducing this Act in 2000 are: Alabama, Colorado, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Hawaii, Iowa, Kansas, Maine, Michigan Ohio, Oklahoma, Rhode Island, Vermont and West Virginia.

V. The UCITA (Uniform Computer Information Transactions Act)

The 'Uniform Computer Information Transactions Act' (hereafter known as the UCITA) sets the rules for electronic contracts and the use of electronic signatures for contract adoption using the normal principals of contract law. This provides a comprehensive set of rules for licensing computer information, whether software or other clearly identified forms of computer information. Since these transactions are mostly in electronic form rules are needed to control the acceptance of electronic signatures. Authentication is basis for these rules. A signature or its electronic equivalent is the basic means of authentication under the UCITA. The UCITA will not receive final approval until the NCCUSL conference in July 2000, but some states have already adopted: Maryland and Virginia. Currently, there are three states/district that will introduce in the year 2000: Delaware, District of Columbia and Hawaii.

VI. The Federal Government

The United States House of Representatives has two Bills that directly pertain to electronic transactions and digital signatures. The first is H.R.1685 that was introduced 5/5/1999 and has the Title of "Internet Growth and Development Act of 1999". Title I of the document is "Authorization of Electronic Signatures in Commerce". All electronic signatures authenticated under this Act would be "equal to paper based, written signatures for purposes of record, rule, or law that requires a valid signature". It would consider an electronic signature authenticated if it: "(1) reliably establishes the identity of the maker, sender, or originator of a document or communication in electronic commerce; and (2) reliably establishes that such document or communication has not been altered". H.R. 1685 will also provide the acceptable procedures for proof of authentication. This Bill was sent to the House Judiciary Committee and hearings were held on 6/30/1999. No further action has been reported since then.

The second Bill is H.R. 1714 was introduced on 5/6/1999 and has the Title "Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act". This document concentrates solely on electronic records and signatures and has made it to the Senate committee process. H.R.1714 and its Amendments cover the same areas as the UETA and UCITA Acts that are being adopted by the states. The Bill specifically states in Title I Sec. 102(a) that a state may modify, limit, or supersede the provision of Sec. 101 if the "statute, regulation or rule of law – (1)(A) constitutes an enactment or adoption of the Uniform Electronic Transactions Act as reported to the State legislatures by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws; or". This Bill passed the House (amended) on 11/9/1999.

VII. Conclusion

It is evident that the 'Legal Framework' for electronic transactions will be adopted by state legislatures across the country within the next two to three years. The Federal government is also close to a 'signature' bill. We can all hope that the United States will soon set the example for secure electronic commerce that is accepted in all judicial systems around the world.

U.S. Government (The Library of Congress). "Bill Summary and Status for the 106th Congress". Summary. 11/9/1999. URL: <http://thomas.loc.gov> (5/17/00)

American Bar Association. "Digital Signature Guidelines". URL: <http://www.abanet.org/scitech/ec/isc/dsg.pdf> (5/12/00)

The National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Law. "Uniform Computer Information Transactions Act". Summary. 1999. URL: www.nccusl.org/uniformact_summaries/uniformacts-s-ucita.html (5/17/00)

The National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Law. "Uniform Electronic Transactions Act". Summary. 1999 URL: www.nccusl.org/uniformact_summaries/uniformacts-s-ueta.htm (5/17/00)

Belgum, Karl D. "Legal Issues in Contracting on the Internet". 10/12/99. URL:

www.thelenreid.com/articles/article/art_47.htm (5/12/00)

Computer Systems Policy Project. "Legal Framework", "An Open Letter to Policymakers and Business Leaders". July 1999, URL: www.cspp.org/projects/gec/a-legal.html (5/12/00)

© SANS Institute 2000 - 2002, Author retains full rights.

Upcoming Training

Click Here to
{Get CERTIFIED!}



SANS Prague 2017	Prague, Czech Republic	Aug 07, 2017 - Aug 12, 2017	Live Event
SANS Boston 2017	Boston, MA	Aug 07, 2017 - Aug 12, 2017	Live Event
Community SANS Omaha SEC401*	Omaha, NE	Aug 14, 2017 - Aug 19, 2017	Community SANS
SANS New York City 2017	New York City, NY	Aug 14, 2017 - Aug 19, 2017	Live Event
SANS Salt Lake City 2017	Salt Lake City, UT	Aug 14, 2017 - Aug 19, 2017	Live Event
SANS Chicago 2017	Chicago, IL	Aug 21, 2017 - Aug 26, 2017	Live Event
SANS Virginia Beach 2017	Virginia Beach, VA	Aug 21, 2017 - Sep 01, 2017	Live Event
SANS Adelaide 2017	Adelaide, Australia	Aug 21, 2017 - Aug 26, 2017	Live Event
Community SANS Trenton SEC401	Trenton, NJ	Aug 21, 2017 - Aug 26, 2017	Community SANS
Virginia Beach 2017 - SEC401: Security Essentials Bootcamp Style	Virginia Beach, VA	Aug 21, 2017 - Aug 26, 2017	vLive
Community SANS Pasadena SEC401 @ NASA	Pasadena, CA	Aug 23, 2017 - Aug 30, 2017	Community SANS
Mentor Session - SEC401	Minneapolis, MN	Aug 29, 2017 - Oct 10, 2017	Mentor
SANS San Francisco Fall 2017	San Francisco, CA	Sep 05, 2017 - Sep 10, 2017	Live Event
SANS Tampa - Clearwater 2017	Clearwater, FL	Sep 05, 2017 - Sep 10, 2017	Live Event
Mentor Session - SEC401	Edmonton, AB	Sep 06, 2017 - Oct 18, 2017	Mentor
SANS Network Security 2017	Las Vegas, NV	Sep 10, 2017 - Sep 17, 2017	Live Event
Community SANS Albany SEC401	Albany, NY	Sep 11, 2017 - Sep 16, 2017	Community SANS
Mentor Session - SEC401	Ventura, CA	Sep 11, 2017 - Oct 12, 2017	Mentor
Community SANS Columbia SEC401	Columbia, MD	Sep 18, 2017 - Sep 23, 2017	Community SANS
Community SANS Dallas SEC401	Dallas, TX	Sep 18, 2017 - Sep 23, 2017	Community SANS
Community SANS Boise SEC401	Boise, ID	Sep 25, 2017 - Sep 30, 2017	Community SANS
Baltimore Fall 2017 - SEC401: Security Essentials Bootcamp Style	Baltimore, MD	Sep 25, 2017 - Sep 30, 2017	vLive
Community SANS New York SEC401	New York, NY	Sep 25, 2017 - Sep 30, 2017	Community SANS
Rocky Mountain Fall 2017	Denver, CO	Sep 25, 2017 - Sep 30, 2017	Live Event
SANS London September 2017	London, United Kingdom	Sep 25, 2017 - Sep 30, 2017	Live Event
SANS Baltimore Fall 2017	Baltimore, MD	Sep 25, 2017 - Sep 30, 2017	Live Event
SANS Copenhagen 2017	Copenhagen, Denmark	Sep 25, 2017 - Sep 30, 2017	Live Event
Community SANS Sacramento SEC401	Sacramento, CA	Oct 02, 2017 - Oct 07, 2017	Community SANS
SANS DFIR Prague 2017	Prague, Czech Republic	Oct 02, 2017 - Oct 08, 2017	Live Event
Community SANS Charleston SEC401	Charleston, SC	Oct 02, 2017 - Oct 07, 2017	Community SANS
Mentor Session - SEC401	Arlington, VA	Oct 04, 2017 - Nov 15, 2017	Mentor