



# Global Information Assurance Certification Paper

Copyright SANS Institute  
Author Retains Full Rights

This paper is taken from the GIAC directory of certified professionals. Reposting is not permitted without express written permission.

## Interested in learning more?

Check out the list of upcoming events offering  
"Security Essentials Bootcamp Style (Security 401)"  
at <http://www.giac.org/registration/gsec>

**Information Security Leadership**

**Ken Sweltz**

**In fulfillment of**

**SANS Security Essentials  
GSEC Practical Assignment  
Version 1.2e**

**June 16, 2001**

© SANS Institute 2000-2002, Author retains full rights.

## **Introduction**

Many organizations have implemented sophisticated and redundant physical security mechanisms. This may include perimeter fences, surveillance cameras, armed guards, and employee badges. Proprietary information is shredded, sensitive materials are locked up during non-working hours, and random checks are conducted to ensure personnel are not leaving with unauthorized materials. These measures are usually very effective and the organization's leadership team knows they have exercised due care and diligence. However, individuals from this same leadership team may routinely leave their laptops unattended in hotel rooms where sensitive information could be unknowingly transferred from their hard drives. In other cases, patches and updates may not be properly applied to their critical servers and thus they become targets for unscrupulous individuals who can steal data or disrupt the organization's activities. In their management of information security, the leadership team is placing the organization at risk. This is not necessarily intentional irresponsibility on their part. In many cases it may be a failure to recognize or be properly informed of the threats and the appropriate responses to take. This is supported by a survey of computer security experts and managers at SANS 99 where they identified the second most prevalent management error that leads to security vulnerabilities security as managers who understand physical security but not the consequences of poor information security [10].

In this paper, I will examine some of the reasons for a lack of management awareness in dealing with information security; some possible dilemmas even informed management faces when trying to implement proper information security controls; and possible recourses for information security professionals to use to mitigate these problems. My discussion is primarily focused on organizations in the commercial sector.

## **Physical Security versus Information Security**

It is easy to understand why management is more comfortable with physical security. In most cases they have been exposed to it all their lives. They left a secure hospital shortly after their birth wearing an identification bracelet and have been exposed to physical security procedures ever since. As children they watched their parents lock homes and cars, turn on outside lights for safety, and perhaps even had a family pet who served as a means of deterrence. Many of these individuals served as a patrol guards or hall monitors in grade school. They know that you significantly reduce the risk of theft by securing your wall locker. For those with military service, guard duty and physical safeguards were a routine experience. Physical security is something they can see and touch. The success or failure of implementation is easily observed.

Unfortunately, information security is not as easily understood. Many individuals on an organization's leadership team did not come of age exposed to computers, networks, and information security practices. They often do not have the technical background to intuitively understand the risks or controls. They hear the hype, but do not know what courses of action to take. Even if information security measures are implemented, they are unsure how to measure effectiveness and determine the all-important Return on Investment. In some cases, the failure to properly implement information security controls may not even be recognized. The Computer Emergency Response Team (CERT) at Carnegie Mellon has estimated that only 10 percent of attacks are detected [2]. Proprietary information can be taken from company servers without

their knowing the theft has occurred. It often takes a significant life experience such as an embarrassing defacement of a web site, a distributed denial of service attack that cause a loss of revenue, or theft of sensitive information such as credit card numbers before action is taken. This results in reactive versus proactive leadership which can lead to decisions made in the heat of the moment that may result in numerous failures to include:

- Not understanding the extent and source of an intrusion;
- Not putting proper protection mechanisms in place to prevent further damage;
- Not properly collecting forensics data to aid in prosecution of the perpetrators [3].

## **The Problem**

There is mounting evidence that cyber attacks both by sophisticated hackers and script kiddies are on the rise. The following from the “2001 Computer Crime and Security Survey” conducted by the Computer Security Institute and Federal Bureau of Investigation confirms that there is a significant threat from computer crime and information security breaches. The results include:

- Eighty-five percent of respondents detected computer security breaches within the last twelve months;
- Sixty-four percent acknowledged financial losses due to computer breaches;
- Thirty-five percent of the respondents reported \$377,828,700 in financial losses. This was a significant increase over the average annual total over the previous three years of \$120,240,180;
- The most serious financial losses occurred through theft of proprietary information and financial fraud;
- Seventy percent of respondents cited their Internet connection as the most frequent point of attack. This is a rise from the 59% reported in 2000 [4].

There are estimates that 4,000 web sites are targeted for Distributed Denial of Service attacks each week. This includes attacks against Microsoft, the Central Intelligence Agency, the White House, and the Computer Emergency Response Team Coordination Center hosted by Carnegie Mellon University [12].

The current cyber threat can take a variety of forms to include:

- Threat of Disruption. This can include disruptive viruses and denial of service attacks that could impact commercial communication flows such as banking transactions;
- Threat of Exploitation. This involves the compromise of sensitive or proprietary information and includes identity spoofing, credit card fraud, and information theft;
- Threat of Manipulation. This can be done for political or economic reasons or just for pure vandalism. It can be something simple such as the defacement of a web site or more serious such as the manipulation of financial and infrastructure data;
- Threat of Destruction. This involves exploits that access systems and cause data to be wiped out on hard drives or other data storage systems [2].

Because of these threats it is imperative that information security professionals and organizational leadership work together to understand the vulnerabilities and put proper security controls in place.

## **The Challenge**

The challenge facing information security professionals is to ensure the organization's leadership understands what the information security risks are and what possible mitigation measures can be implemented to reduce those risks. Security professionals also need to make their leadership aware that absolute security is not achievable. Good security practices cannot eliminate risk; they can only be used to mitigate it [8]. There is also an inverse relationship between functionality and security controls; i.e., the more controls you put in place the less functional the system will be. Finally, the key is to achieve all of this in a proactive versus reactive manner.

## **The Dilemma**

Even for a leadership team concerned about information security, it takes time for them to comprehend all the issues well enough to balance them against other competing interests in the organization [8]. They will be looking for ways to maximize profits and get the best Return on Investment. Management often believes that security is a drain on resources and has a difficult time equating money spent on security to improving the bottom line [8]. It can be difficult to have your leadership commit funds and resources to threats that may not materialize. You must be careful to not overplay your hand. There are numerous legitimate security concerns and a security professional should not get the reputation of "crying wolf". Your leadership could also have a false sense of security based on misperceptions. There are a number of myths that senior leaders may believe to be valid. These include:

- Firewalls provide adequate protection from the Internet;
- We have not been compromised so far so security is OK;
- Technology products alone can solve our security problems;
- We don't do anything to make us a target for attack [9].

Because the above myths have some degree of truth, it can be difficult to convince your leadership otherwise. The issue becomes using an appropriate approach to properly convey the right message to the decision makers so effective and efficient security controls can be put in place.

## **A Fix**

Information security professionals need to be proactive in working with an organization's leadership. If there are breaches in security the information security professional will most likely be held accountable. Therefore it is good practice to document the recommendations you make and the action taken via formal written requests and memorandum for the record. Information security professionals and the leadership team often share the same goals but may be divided by divergent cultures that exist in an organization [6]. This can include differences in terminology and jargon. The information professional will use technical terms and concepts that could be unfamiliar to those on the leadership team. The leadership team will use business terminology

and legalese that may sound irrelevant or unimportant to the information security professional. Management will be looking for ways to maximize profits while the information security professional may want to implement security solutions without appreciating there are only finite funds available [6].

It is incumbent upon the security practitioner to try to bridge this cultural gap and work with management to ensure the organization is doing the right thing. There is no advantage in approaching your leadership in an adversarial or condescending manner. Although your leadership may not understand all the technical nuances involved, they are for the most part motivated and intelligence individuals who share your desire to protect the organization's assets.

The best way to reach your leadership and get a seat at their table is to be a leader yourself. This includes being prepared and conveying your message using the accepted professional standards of your organization. In most cases this will require a combination of written proposals and oral presentations. By having a thorough understanding of the issues you want to present, you will be able to convey your points in a confident and positive manner. This will increase the chances that they will be accepted for further consideration.

It is important to provide information in a form that is meaningful. Risk analysis is critical in determining what information security controls should be put in place. Organizations with the most effective risk analysis will be those that include a mix of individuals from business operations and those who have a technical understanding of the systems and security controls needed [5]. However, a recent survey indicated that more than 40% of organizations had no business unit participation in setting a value on information and digital resources [8]. This clearly needs to change in order to elevate information security concerns to a level where solutions will be implemented.

Risk management involves tradeoffs and coming up with a prioritized list that provides protection for your most critical assets. A good risk analysis will bring a degree of quantitative rigor to the process and help your leadership view information security from a business perspective.

When conducting risk analysis, a variety of issues such as policy, management, administration, and technology should be included to define an overall view of the information security posture of an organization. An information security risk analysis should be done in the following three sequential phases:

- Identify critical assets and the threats to those assets;
- Identify the vulnerabilities that expose those threats;
- Develop an appropriate protection strategy for the organization's mission and priorities [1].

Once a plan is put in place, it is important to periodically check it for applicability and make adjustments to meet the risks.

The General Accounting Office identified that the following risk management principles as best practice:

- Assess risk and define requirements;
- Establish a central focal point for your organization;
- Implement appropriate policies and controls;
- Promote awareness;
- Monitor and evaluate what is implemented [5].

When presenting the results of your risk analysis, the approach you use can make a big difference. This is especially the case when dealing with senior non-technical leaders. When providing examples of technology, use methods that will make the point. If you want to explain the concept of a “trace route” don’t do it from the command line. Use a program such as NeoTrace to make your point with a graphical representation. In the first case you’ll get glassy eyed stares. In the second case you will know they got it.

Analogies can be useful to help explain your key points to senior decision makers. These should not oversimplify the issues or make them trivial, but should be used to help get a point across that you might not otherwise be able to make. For example, one of the most important aspects of information security is to use a layered defense. Sometimes it can be difficult to explain why you need funding for firewalls, network intrusion detection systems, host intrusion detection systems, antivirus software, and vulnerability assessment tools. This may seem unnecessarily redundant. By using an example of the multiple layers of defense in a medieval castle, you can help illustrate your point.

It is also a good idea to have contingency plans prepared for anticipated threats that may get your boss’s attention [8]. In many organizations vulnerabilities won’t be addressed until they happen to a competitor or become headlines. If you are being proactive and have researched and prepared for these types of anticipated threats, you can not only get them approved when they now have management’s attention but you will also gain credibility that will be useful for implementing other security controls.

It is important to gain early success when working with your management team. Go after low hanging fruit that will show that results are being made. This could include:

- Providing statistics on the number of probes that were successfully blocked;
- Running leadership approved password checking programs to identify weak passwords and having them corrected;
- Conducting employee awareness programs on security issues such as social engineering;
- Emphasizing your increased information security posture when attempting to win bids from other organizations that place a value on this.

## **Conclusion**

In this paper, I examined some of the reasons for a lack of management awareness in dealing with information security; some possible dilemmas even informed management faces when

trying to implement proper information security controls; and possible recourses for information security professionals to use to mitigate these problems. Because of the current magnitude of the threat and the fact that everyone connected to the Internet is vulnerable, it is imperative that information security professionals and the organization's leadership work together proactively to put proper security controls in place. Once appropriate measures are implemented, individuals will need to be held accountable to ensure the measures are being properly applied. This will require periodic reassessments to verify that the measures are still effective and continual analysis to look for improved solutions and technologies to help combat changing threats. By establishing a dialogue with your business leadership, information security professionals will become leaders themselves and can forge a partnership to ensure that best practices are in place to keep their organizations secure.

© SANS Institute 2000 - 2002, Author retains full rights.



## List of References

1. Allen, Julia; Alberts, Christopher; Behrens, Samuel; Laswell, Barbara; Wilson, William. "Improving the Security of Networked Systems." URL: <http://www.stsc.hill.af.mil/crosstalk/2000/oct/allen.asp>
2. Borchgrave, Amaud; Cillufo, Frank; Cardash, Sharon; Lederwood, Michele. "Cyber Threats and Information Security: Meeting the 21<sup>st</sup> Century Challenge" Center for Strategic and International Studies December 2000 (2000).
3. CERT Coordination Center. "Responding to Intrusions." URL: <http://www.cert.org/security-improvement/modules/m06.html>
4. Computer Security Institute. "2001 CSI/FBI Computer Crime and Security Survey." March 12, 2001 (2001).
5. General Accounting Office. "Information Security Management: Learning From Leading Organizations." May 1998 (1998).
6. Heiser, Jay. "Cultural Divide" Information Security Volume 4 Number 5 May 2001 (2001): 42.
7. Hernandez, Ernest D. "Network Security Policy." November 22, 2000. URL: [http://www.sans.org/infosecFAQ/policy/netsec\\_policy.htm](http://www.sans.org/infosecFAQ/policy/netsec_policy.htm).
8. Prince, Frank. "Translating Security for Managers." Information Security Volume 4 Number 5 May 2001 (2001): 44 – 46.
9. Marsh, Jerry. "Myths Managers Believe About Security." January 25, 2001. URL: <http://www.sans.org/infosecFAQ/start/myths.htm>.
10. The SANS Institute. "The 7 Top Management Errors that Lead to Computer Security Vulnerabilities." 1999. URL: <http://www.sans.org/newbook/resources/errors.htm> (April 15, 2001)
11. Rowland, Carolyn. "Selling Security to Management in a Low Risk Environment." April 12, 2001. URL: [http://www.sans.org/infosecFAQ/start/selling\\_sec.htm](http://www.sans.org/infosecFAQ/start/selling_sec.htm).
12. Stross, Randall. "Malicious Mischief" U.S. News & World Report June 18, 2001 (2001): 38.

# Upcoming Training

Click Here to  
**{Get CERTIFIED!}**



|   |                             |                             |                |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| Community SANS Sacramento SEC401  | Sacramento, CA              | Oct 02, 2017 - Oct 07, 2017 | Community SANS |
| SANS DFIR Prague Summit & Training 2017   | Prague, Czech Republic      | Oct 02, 2017 - Oct 08, 2017 | Live Event     |
| SANS October Singapore 2017   | Singapore, Singapore        | Oct 09, 2017 - Oct 28, 2017 | Live Event     |
| SANS Phoenix-Mesa 2017  | Mesa, AZ                    | Oct 09, 2017 - Oct 14, 2017 | Live Event     |
| SANS Tysons Corner Fall 2017  | McLean, VA                  | Oct 14, 2017 - Oct 21, 2017 | Live Event     |
| CCB Private SEC401 Oct 17   | Brussels, Belgium           | Oct 16, 2017 - Oct 21, 2017 |                |
| SANS Tokyo Autumn 2017  | Tokyo, Japan                | Oct 16, 2017 - Oct 28, 2017 | Live Event     |
| SANS vLive - SEC401: Security Essentials Bootcamp Style                         | SEC401 - 201710,            | Oct 23, 2017 - Nov 29, 2017 | vLive          |
| SANS Seattle 2017   | Seattle, WA                 | Oct 30, 2017 - Nov 04, 2017 | Live Event     |
| San Diego Fall 2017 - SEC401: Security Essentials Bootcamp Style                | San Diego, CA               | Oct 30, 2017 - Nov 04, 2017 | vLive          |
| SANS San Diego 2017   | San Diego, CA               | Oct 30, 2017 - Nov 04, 2017 | Live Event     |
| SANS Gulf Region 2017   | Dubai, United Arab Emirates | Nov 04, 2017 - Nov 16, 2017 | Live Event     |
| Community SANS Colorado Springs SEC401~   | Colorado Springs, CO        | Nov 06, 2017 - Nov 11, 2017 | Community SANS |
| SANS Miami 2017   | Miami, FL                   | Nov 06, 2017 - Nov 11, 2017 | Live Event     |
| Community SANS Vancouver SEC401^  | Vancouver, BC               | Nov 06, 2017 - Nov 11, 2017 | Community SANS |
| SANS Sydney 2017  | Sydney, Australia           | Nov 13, 2017 - Nov 25, 2017 | Live Event     |
| SANS Paris November 2017  | Paris, France               | Nov 13, 2017 - Nov 18, 2017 | Live Event     |
| SANS San Francisco Winter 2017  | San Francisco, CA           | Nov 27, 2017 - Dec 02, 2017 | Live Event     |
| Community SANS St. Louis SEC401   | St Louis, MO                | Nov 27, 2017 - Dec 02, 2017 | Community SANS |
| Community SANS Portland SEC401  | Portland, OR                | Nov 27, 2017 - Dec 02, 2017 | Community SANS |
| SANS London November 2017   | London, United Kingdom      | Nov 27, 2017 - Dec 02, 2017 | Live Event     |
| SANS Khobar 2017  | Khobar, Saudi Arabia        | Dec 02, 2017 - Dec 07, 2017 | Live Event     |
| Community SANS Ottawa SEC401  | Ottawa, ON                  | Dec 04, 2017 - Dec 09, 2017 | Community SANS |
| SANS Munich December 2017   | Munich, Germany             | Dec 04, 2017 - Dec 09, 2017 | Live Event     |
| SANS Austin Winter 2017   | Austin, TX                  | Dec 04, 2017 - Dec 09, 2017 | Live Event     |
| SANS vLive - SEC401: Security Essentials Bootcamp Style                         | SEC401 - 201712,            | Dec 11, 2017 - Jan 24, 2018 | vLive          |
| SANS Bangalore 2017   | Bangalore, India            | Dec 11, 2017 - Dec 16, 2017 | Live Event     |
| SANS Cyber Defense Initiative 2017  | Washington, DC              | Dec 12, 2017 - Dec 19, 2017 | Live Event     |
| SANS Cyber Defense Initiative 2017 - SEC401: Security Essentials Bootcamp Style | Washington, DC              | Dec 14, 2017 - Dec 19, 2017 | vLive          |
| SANS Security East 2018   | New Orleans, LA             | Jan 08, 2018 - Jan 13, 2018 | Live Event     |
| Northern VA Winter - Reston 2018  | Reston, VA                  | Jan 15, 2018 - Jan 20, 2018 | Live Event     |